



Building the Southern African Countries from Within: A Bold Step Towards Development

Dr. Douglas John Chikhowe Banda*

PhD candidate, Department of Public Administration/Management, Durban University of Technology, South Africa. Email: chikhowebanda@gmail.com

Prof. Nirmala Dorasamy

Senior Lecture, Department of Public Management, Durban University of Technology, Durban. Email: nirmala@dut.ac.za

Prof. Zamokuhle Mbandlwa

Professor, Department of Public Management, Durban University of Technology, South Africa. Email: ZamokuhleM@dut.ac.za

Abstract

This paper examines the challenges and opportunities in building Southern African countries from within, emphasizing the need for stronger governance and sustainable development strategies. Despite democratic transitions and institutional reforms, many Southern African states continue to face persistent obstacles such as policy inconsistencies, weak governance structures, and misallocation of resources. These challenges have contributed to limited economic growth, high unemployment, and widening inequalities across the region. The study, based on secondary literature including academic articles, policy documents, and books, identifies political instability, poor infrastructure, underutilization of human resources, and human capital flight as recurring barriers to effective nation-building. Furthermore, external dependence on donor aid and vulnerability to global economic shifts often undermine domestic development priorities. The paper argues that building Southern African countries from within requires more than the adoption of borrowed development models; instead, context-specific approaches must be prioritized. Strategies such as strengthening governance frameworks, adopting SMART goal-setting methods, investing in human capital, and promoting small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are highlighted as crucial pathways to resilience. Additionally, policies that reduce brain drain, foster regional trade integration, and encourage innovation in both the public and private sectors are vital for long-term sustainability. The findings emphasize that meaningful progress in Southern Africa will depend on political will, accountability, and inclusive governance. By contextualizing policies to local realities and investing in people, Southern African countries can transition from dependency to self-reliance, laying a foundation for inclusive and sustainable growth.

Keywords: building, economic, governance, institutions, policy

Introduction

Southern Africa, a region of sixteen member states Angola, Botswana, Comoros, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Eswatini, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Seychelles, South Africa, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe occupies a strategic position in Africa's social, political, and economic landscape. Despite its vast natural resources, human potential, and opportunities for trade and regional integration, the region continues to face persistent governance challenges, economic stagnation, and structural inequalities (Knight et al., 2023). Building these countries "from within" requires strengthening internal governance systems, enhancing human capital, and developing context-specific strategies for inclusive growth rather than relying excessively on external donors or imported development models. Reliance on externally driven solutions has often produced only temporary results without addressing structural weaknesses, and as argue, sustainable development in Southern Africa requires directly confronting the internal barriers that have historically undermined progress.

The region's current challenges are deeply rooted in its historical trajectory of colonial exploitation, liberation struggles, and post-independence experiments in governance. Democratization, while widely adopted, has not always translated into effective institutions. In some cases, it has widened the gap between policy commitments and practical implementation due to limited state capacity and inconsistent leadership. Economically, performance has been uneven. Countries such as South Africa and Mauritius have achieved moderate diversification, but others especially the Democratic Republic of Congo, Malawi, Mozambique, and Madagascar remain among the poorest in the world (Mkhize et al., 2024). In 2019, the DRC's GDP per capita was less than US\$1,000, with Malawi and Mozambique only slightly higher at between US\$1,288 and US\$1,867. Since 2012, the region as a whole has recorded an average GDP growth of just 0.8 percent, a level insufficient to address widespread unemployment and poverty. Structural bottlenecks such as inadequate infrastructure, limited energy capacity, weak transport systems, and fragile governance institutions persist. At the same time, the shortage of skilled professionals, an aging workforce, and the steady outflow of talent through migration the so-called brain drain have deepened service delivery gaps in health, education, engineering, and public administration.

Political instability further complicates development. Frequent leadership changes, contested elections, and fragile institutions have disrupted policy continuity, while weak governance structures reduce accountability and create space for corruption. Governments often fail to enforce laws effectively, fostering an environment of impunity that erodes public trust. Although resource-rich, the region has struggled to translate its natural wealth into broad-based growth (Smith, 2024). Instead, resource dependence has frequently fuelled corruption and conflict, a dynamic described as the "resource curse". Limited infrastructure in energy, transport, and logistics restricts investment opportunities and integration into global value chains, while global fluctuations in commodity prices leave resource-dependent economies highly vulnerable.



Human capital challenges remain central. Skilled workers are often misallocated due to political patronage or poor planning, reducing productivity and innovation. Migration of professionals to more developed economies deprive the region of the intellectual capacity needed to drive reforms (Millard & Fucci, 2023). Poverty is widespread, reflecting not only low income but also restricted access to education, healthcare, and basic services. Independence was expected to reduce deprivation, but in many states poverty has deepened due to policy inconsistencies and corruption. Poverty shortens life expectancy, perpetuates inequality, and undermines human development, creating intergenerational disadvantages that are difficult to reverse.

Health crises compound these structural weaknesses. High rates of communicable diseases such as HIV/AIDS and malaria continue to erode economic productivity and strain fragile health systems (Tal, 2025). Rapid population growth adds demographic pressures to already overburdened institutions. Climate change and environmental degradation further threaten agriculture, food security, and rural livelihoods, particularly in communities dependent on subsistence farming.

External interference and aid dependency present additional obstacles. While foreign aid has offered short-term relief, it has often fostered dependence and weakened domestic policy ownership (Lwamba et al., 2022). International interventions, at times politically motivated, complicate governance and disrupt policy coherence. Overreliance on external loans from institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund has left many countries in cycles of debt repayment and policy conditionalities that do not always align with local priorities.

Taken together, these dynamics reinforce a cycle of underdevelopment. Political instability fuels economic stagnation, which deepens poverty, inequality, and migration, in turn weakening governance and further reducing state capacity (Marie, 2024). This paper therefore aims to address two key objectives. The first is to identify the central challenges confronting Southern African countries in their efforts to build development from within, including political instability, weak governance, economic stagnation, infrastructural deficits, poverty, brain drain, health crises, and external dependency. The second is to propose strategies for sustainable development, with a particular focus on strengthening governance systems, contextualizing policy strategies, investing in human capital, reducing external dependency, and fostering inclusive economic transformation.

The contribution of this analysis is both academic and practical. By synthesizing secondary literature, it highlights recurring barriers that have consistently undermined development in Southern Africa (Matlala, 2025). By proposing targeted reforms, it offers policymakers, scholars, and practitioners concrete insights into how the region might move beyond dependence and rhetoric toward meaningful and sustainable progress.

The Role of Non-State Actors and Governance Pressures

In Southern Africa, the concept of the “third force” in policy implementation highlights the influence of non-state actors such as foreign governments, NGOs, and transnational organizations, which often frustrate efforts to strengthen domestic institutions. Their involvement can create dependency on external resources and shape policies that do not always align with local realities (Girei, 2022). Scholars argue that effective governance requires continuous institutional improvement, ethical public administration, and proactive resource management. In addition, the rise of digital networks demands greater attention to cybersecurity threats that undermine public trust. A layered approach that combines technology, institutional processes, and staff awareness is seen as critical for building resilience.

Development Strategies and the SMART Framework

Development strategies function as economic blueprints, setting priorities, outlining goals, and identifying trade-offs within specific timeframes. National plans typically combine policy frameworks with institutional and spatial planning (Fernández & Oliver, 2025). The SMART framework specific, measurable, achievable, reliable, and time-bound has become a global best practice in planning. Yet observe that most Southern African governments rarely adopt SMART principles, often transplanting policies from wealthier nations without adapting them to local contexts. This reliance results in rhetorical commitments and poorly executed implementation.

Key Factors Influencing Development

Power Dynamics

The distribution of authority across institutions is central to development outcomes. While decentralization can enhance efficiency, weak legal and governance institutions often undermine accountability and delay justice, eroding public trust.

Labor

Southern Africa possesses considerable human capital, but its potential is underutilized due to nepotism, political patronage, and poor workforce planning. Misallocation of skills reduces productivity and fuels emigration, while restrictions on civic freedoms further limit citizen participation.

Capital

Access to capital is tied to natural resource endowments such as oil, minerals, and cultivable land. Yet poverty, corruption, and inequality undermine effective resource use, leaving states dependent on external loans and vulnerable to debt crises.

Technology

Technology acts as both an enabler and disruptor. It boosts productivity and knowledge-sharing, but automation and exchange-rate volatility threaten employment and business stability (Moghrabi et al., 2023). Governments must invest in technological infrastructure and workforce adaptation to harness its benefits.

Persistent Structural Challenges

Scholars consistently identify recurring obstacles to sustainable development. Underutilization of human resources is widespread, as political loyalty often trumps competence in appointments. Poverty, far from declining after independence, remains entrenched and multidimensional manifesting in malnutrition, poor education, inadequate healthcare, and social exclusion (Sukare & Abdullahi, 2025). The politicization of institutions undermines service delivery and fuels divisions along ethnic or tribal lines. Brain drains further weakens state capacity, as professionals migrate abroad, leaving governments unable to implement needed reforms. Intelligence agencies, which could provide stability, are often underfunded or misused for partisan gain.

Strategic Development Areas

Scholars emphasize that long-term development requires investment in five critical sectors: energy, transport, communication, water, and housing. Yet progress has been uneven due to weak financing, policy inconsistency, and institutional inefficiency (Bozos et al., 2025). Governments have experimented with competitive strategies such as differentiation, cost-leadership, and focus, but weak governance and unstable currencies continue to undermine success.

Policy Approaches for Growth

Trade liberalization has opened access to markets and enhanced competitiveness, though results are uneven due to tariffs, high export costs, and global shocks. Export promotion policies, including subsidies and tax exemptions, remain hampered by regulatory complexity and cultural barriers (Chukwuebuka et al., 2025). Foreign direct investment has largely concentrated in South Africa, with smaller states struggling to attract investors due to global inequalities and restrictive domestic environments. Privatization, introduced abruptly in many cases, has often led to job losses, corruption, and reduced transparency.

Human Capital and Institutional Capacity

Human capital is widely recognized as the cornerstone of sustainable growth. Investments in education, healthcare, and professional training enhance productivity and social well-being. However, systemic weaknesses persist, including poor manpower planning, cultural discrimination, and weak science and technology sectors (Islam, 2024). Low remuneration and limited career prospects encourage brain drain; depriving states of the skilled professionals needed for reform.

Theoretical Frameworks

Relational models such as attachment theory have been adapted to explain governance dynamics in Southern Africa. Originally rooted in psychology, attachment theory emphasizes how consistent and responsive interactions build trust, while inconsistent or neglectful relationships produce detachment and resistance (Bosmans et al., 2022). Applied to governance, secure institutions foster legitimacy and cooperation, whereas weak or politicized systems breed distrust and instability. This framework underscores the importance of trust, responsiveness, and legitimacy in sustaining long-term development.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design to examine the challenges and opportunities of building Southern African countries from within. Qualitative inquiry was considered the most appropriate approach because it provides flexibility in exploring complex political, economic, and social dynamics through interpretation of existing evidence rather than relying solely on statistical measurement. In a context where access to policymakers and government officials is limited due to busy schedules and political sensitivities, secondary data analysis offers a practical and reliable means of addressing the research objectives. As note, qualitative research is especially useful for studies concerned with governance and institutional development, as it allows the researcher to interpret multiple perspectives and draw meaning from textual evidence.

The data for this study were drawn exclusively from secondary sources. These included scholarly journal articles, textbooks, policy documents, and research reports, spanning the period from 2000 to 2024. The reliance on secondary data was justified by the richness of the existing literature on governance, political economy, and institutional development in Southern Africa. Using previously published materials also provided the opportunity to triangulate different sources and perspectives, thereby increasing the reliability of the findings. Moreover, this approach ensured that the analysis could integrate both historical insights and contemporary developments, offering a longitudinal perspective on the region's governance and development challenges.

The study was conducted in three main stages. The first stage involved a systematic review of academic journal articles published between 2000 and 2022. This period was selected to capture both older and more recent scholarship, allowing for an examination of how governance debates have evolved over time. Key articles reviewed included on the legacy of colonization

and its impact on African governance, Blunt, on the meaning of development assistance, on the political economy of conflict in the Democratic Republic of Congo and on aid and economic growth, and on aid effectiveness debates. These works provided a balanced mix of perspectives on governance, foreign aid, corruption, and institutional performance. By including articles from different time periods, the study was able to identify both persistent challenges, such as corruption and dependency, and emerging issues, such as the impact of globalization and new forms of political capitalism. Content analysis was applied to these articles to identify recurring themes and to highlight the main factors influencing governance in Southern Africa.

The second stage of the research focused on textbooks that provided comprehensive theoretical and conceptual frameworks. In *Foreign Aid: Diplomacy, Development, Domestic Politics* offered insights into the political nature of aid and its implications for domestic governance in African states. In *Contributed Perspectives on Human Resource Development and Institutional Capacity-Building*, which are crucial for understanding the role of human capital in governance. *Does Foreign Aid Really Work?* provided critical reflections on the long-standing debates surrounding aid effectiveness and its mixed impact on poverty reduction. These textbooks offered depth and context that complemented the journal articles, ensuring that the study was not only informed by empirical findings but also grounded in established theoretical perspectives.

The third stage involved an extended desktop review of general articles, reports, and policy papers to capture more recent developments and trends. This was necessary because the political and economic landscape of Southern Africa is rapidly changing, and many emerging issues are first documented in reports by think tanks, NGOs, and international organizations before appearing in peer-reviewed journals. The desktop research helped to fill gaps left by the scholarly literature, particularly on current policy debates, the rise of regional trade blocs, and the socio-economic impacts of climate change and technological disruption. Given the difficulty of accessing direct data from public officials, reliance on such materials ensured that the analysis remained timely and relevant.

Throughout all three stages, the study applied content analysis as the main method of data analysis. Content analysis allowed for systematic identification of themes, categorization of information, and interpretation of recurring concepts across different sources. This technique was particularly useful for synthesizing findings from diverse materials, ranging from empirical studies to conceptual debates. For instance, recurring themes such as political instability, weak governance, human capital flight, and donor dependency were noted across multiple sources, confirming their centrality in the Southern African development context. Similarly, the frequent mention of strategies such as trade liberalization, export promotion, and investment in human capital underscored their significance in policy debates about the region's future.

The qualitative design adopted in this study provided several advantages. First, it enabled the integration of a wide range of perspectives, ensuring that the analysis was not narrowly confined to one discipline or ideological standpoint. Second, by drawing on literature across two decades, it allowed for the identification of both continuities and shifts in governance challenges. Third, the flexibility of qualitative methods made it possible to incorporate insights from diverse sources such as policy papers and NGO reports, which often provide on-the-ground perspectives that complement academic debates.

However, the chosen methodology was not without limitations. The reliance on secondary data meant that the study was constrained by the quality, scope, and availability of existing materials. Some topics, such as the internal operations of political parties or the specific dynamics of intelligence institutions, remain under-researched, which limited the ability to draw comprehensive conclusions on those aspects. Furthermore, secondary sources may reflect the biases of their authors, particularly in politically sensitive contexts such as governance and aid. Nonetheless, triangulating information from multiple types of sources helped to minimize these limitations and ensured a more balanced analysis.

Overall, the methodological approach employed in this study was appropriate for addressing the research objectives. By combining scholarly articles, textbooks, and general reports, and by applying content analysis to identify themes and patterns, the study was able to generate meaningful insights into the barriers and strategies for building Southern African countries from within. The chosen approach not only provided a cost-effective and practical solution but also produced findings that are grounded in a broad and reliable evidence base. This methodology therefore serves as a strong foundation for the subsequent analysis of results and discussion on sustainable development strategies in Southern Africa.

Results

Building on the insights highlighted in the Literature Review, the findings reveal a recurring set of structural and institutional weaknesses that constrain the developmental trajectory of Southern African states. These weaknesses include the underutilization of human resources, persistent poverty and inequality, lack of financial reserves, policy inconsistency, and politicization of institutions. They are compounded by broader structural factors such as power dynamics, weak human capital systems, inadequate technology adoption, and poor capital and resource management. Together, these factors create a self-reinforcing cycle of underdevelopment.

Interpreted through the lens of attachment theory, these weaknesses can be conceptualized as a breakdown of trust between governments and citizens. Just as inconsistent or neglectful caregiving produces insecure attachments in children, inconsistent and politicized governance fosters citizen detachment, resistance, and even migration. Conversely, when governments are responsive and consistent, they foster secure "governance attachments" that encourage participation, trust, and cooperation.

Key Findings

Underutilization of Human Resources

The ineffective use of human capital remains one of the most pressing challenges. Governments frequently misallocate skilled professionals due to nepotism, political patronage, or weak manpower planning. Qualified doctors, engineers, and educators are sidelined in favor of political loyalists, leading to inefficiency and diminished innovation. This mismanagement creates disillusionment among skilled workers and contributes to brain drain.

The departure of skilled individuals produces service delivery gaps in health and education, weakens institutional memory, and reduces policy innovation capacity. From an attachment theory perspective, this represents a form of institutional neglect: when states fail to value and support their citizens' skills, citizens reciprocate with detachment, often exiting the system through migration.

Poverty and Inequality

Poverty remains entrenched and multidimensional. While independence raised expectations of improved welfare, poverty rates have worsened due to policy inconsistency, corruption, and mismanagement. Poverty in Southern Africa extends beyond income deprivation to include malnutrition, poor education, limited healthcare, and social exclusion.

The persistence of poverty creates intergenerational cycles of deprivation. Children from poor households are less likely to complete schooling, more vulnerable to ill health, and more likely to resort to informal or illicit economic activities. This reduces social mobility and reinforces inequality. In attachment terms, states that fail to provide consistent support effectively create neglectful governance attachments, leaving citizens disillusioned and alienated.

Lack of Financial Reserves

Another key finding is the absence of sufficient financial reserves. Many governments depend heavily on donor funding and loans from international financial institutions. While aid offers short-term relief, it undermines sovereignty, ties countries to external conditionalities, and exposes them to global economic shocks. The lack of reserves prevents long-term infrastructure investment or crisis response.

Citizens observing this dependency often view governments as accountable to external donors rather than to their populations. From attachment theory, when the "caregiver" relies on outsiders for essential support, citizens experience insecurity and mistrust in governance.

Policy Inconsistency

Policy inconsistency undermines long-term developmental continuity. Frequent leadership changes often lead to abrupt shifts in direction, with new administrations discarding the programs of their predecessors regardless of potential. Poorly communicated policy changes also create confusion within bureaucracies and discourage investment.

This volatility can be likened to inconsistent caregiving in attachment theory. Just as children cannot form secure attachments when caregivers are unpredictable, citizens cannot trust institutions when policy frameworks shift constantly.

Politicization of Institutions

The politicization of institutions emerged as one of the most corrosive weaknesses. Regulatory bodies and public services are often co-opted by elites for partisan gain. Service delivery is distributed along political or tribal lines, reinforcing inequality and undermining national cohesion.

This produces a legitimacy crisis, where institutions are perceived not as impartial providers of public goods but as elite instruments. In attachment terms, this reflects exploitative caregiving, where institutions prioritize their survival over citizen welfare, generating insecure attachments that manifest as resistance, protest, or disengagement.

Development Factors

Several interconnected development factors continue to shape governance and economic outcomes in Southern Africa. Power dynamics play a central role, as weak judicial systems frequently fail to enforce laws, thereby allowing corruption to flourish unchecked. Civil society organizations often struggle to hold governments accountable due to limited institutional space and political interference. This persistent imbalance fosters public disengagement, where citizens respond with what attachment theory describes as "avoidant attachments," withdrawing trust and reducing participation in governance.

Human capital presents another critical challenge. Despite the presence of a large potential workforce, structural weaknesses including uneven education quality, insufficient vocational training opportunities, and persistent gender and ethnic discrimination significantly limit the effective utilization of human resources. This mismatch between educational attainment and labor market absorption undermines productivity and contributes to brain drain.

Technology has emerged as both an enabler and a disruptor. While digitalization offers opportunities for improved productivity, knowledge sharing, and efficiency, it also carries risks such as job displacement through automation, increased social tensions, and heightened vulnerability to cybersecurity threats. Without appropriate safeguards and investments in digital literacy, the transformative potential of technology may be outweighed by its disruptive impacts.

Finally, capital and resource management remains an enduring issue. Although Southern Africa is rich in natural resources, mismanagement, corruption, and elite capture have perpetuated what scholars describe as the "resource curse". Instead of being reinvested in infrastructure and social development, resource revenues are often diverted toward narrow elite interests, exacerbating inequality and undermining public trust in governance institutions.

Policy Implications

The findings from this study highlight three overarching policy implications that must be addressed if Southern Africa is to achieve sustainable development. First, the weak adoption of SMART goals continues to prevent the translation of development rhetoric into measurable, achievable, and context-sensitive outcomes. Instead of designing localized policies, many governments rely on externally imposed models that often fail to deliver tangible progress. Second, overreliance on donor funding undermines state sovereignty and accountability, leaving governments more answerable to international donors than to their own citizens. This dependency reinforces insecure citizen-state relationships, weakening legitimacy and self-reliance. Third, inadequate infrastructure remains a central constraint on development. Persistent deficits in energy, transport, water, and housing not only limit economic productivity but also deepen inequality and erode trust in public institutions. Addressing these implications requires bold governance reforms, greater fiscal independence, and long-term infrastructure investments that align with regional priorities.

Discussion

The evidence underscores the urgent need for structural reforms. Politically, fragmented multi-party systems create instability, while a consolidated two-party system may enhance coherence and accountability (Asadzadeh et al., 2022). Economically, mixed systems balancing market freedom with state protection can drive inclusive growth. Regionally, deeper integration will reduce external dependency and strengthen competitiveness.

Viewed through attachment theory, reforms are not only technical but relational: political consistency, economic inclusivity, and responsive institutions can repair insecure citizen state attachments and foster stability, loyalty, and cooperation (Peters et al., 2020).

Recommendations

The analysis of findings highlights several actionable recommendations designed to address the structural and institutional weaknesses facing Southern African states. Contextualizing SMART goals is critical to align policies with local realities and counter the problem of policy inconsistency. By setting goals that are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound within the regional context, governments can ensure predictability and enhance accountability.

Another essential recommendation is to strengthen governance and accountability. This involves safeguarding judicial independence, adequately resourcing anti-corruption agencies, and institutionalizing monitoring and evaluation systems to reduce politicization and increase transparency (Feng et al., 2024). Closely linked to this is the need to retain intellectual capital. Governments must create competitive working conditions to discourage brain drain, while simultaneously investing in higher education, training pipelines, and opportunities for professional advancement to build long-term capacity. Economic transformation also depends on the ability to foster private sector growth. Supporting small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) as engines of job creation will diversify revenue streams, stimulate innovation, and reduce reliance on donor funding. To complement this, states must reduce reliance on external loans by broadening their domestic revenue bases, improving tax systems, and exercising greater fiscal discipline. Finally, governments should encourage policy innovation by designing reforms that are tailored to their unique socio-political contexts rather than imitating external models. Expanding regional integration through the Southern African Development Community (SADC) can also strengthen self-reliance and competitiveness.

Each of these recommendations directly addresses the key findings: SMART goals target policy inconsistency; governance reform mitigates politicization; retaining intellectual capital addresses underutilization; SME development tackles poverty and lack of reserves; fiscal reform reduces donor dependency; and context-specific innovation prevents the misapplication of foreign models.

Implications for Policy and Future Research

Policy Implications

The findings carry several important implications for policymakers. First, governance reform must remain a central priority. Strengthening impartial institutions such as the judiciary and public service commissions is necessary to rebuild legitimacy and citizen trust. Second, human capital policies should focus on both retention and diaspora reintegration strategies. By incentivizing skilled professionals to remain or return, states can counter the effects of brain drain while leveraging global expertise. Third, economic transformation requires the adoption of mixed economic systems that balance state regulation with private-sector dynamism, particularly through a strong emphasis on SME development. Fourth, regional integration must move beyond rhetoric toward practical SADC-driven initiatives such as cross-border transport corridors, regional energy grids, and harmonized trade policies.

Future Research Directions

The study also identifies several avenues for further scholarly inquiry. First, there is a need for deepening theoretical applications, particularly by operationalizing attachment theory in governance research to better explain citizen-state relationships. Second, empirical case studies at the country level could provide detailed insights into how challenges such as brain drain, poverty, and politicization vary across contexts like South Africa, Malawi, or Mozambique. Third, comparative regional studies would be valuable for identifying transferable lessons, for instance by examining why East African or Southeast Asian states have achieved higher levels of growth and integration despite facing similar challenges. Finally, researchers should work to develop SMART measurement tools, creating locally grounded indicators that can assess governance quality, human capital retention, and progress toward sustainable development.



Scholarly Contribution

This study makes an important contribution by integrating attachment theory into the analysis of governance challenges in Southern Africa. It demonstrates how inconsistent, politicized, or neglectful governance can be understood as producing “insecure attachments,” leading to citizen disengagement, resistance, and migration. This theoretical application provides fresh insight into the relational dimensions of governance and development, bridging psychology, political science, and development studies. By reframing governance challenges as attachment dynamics, the study offers a novel interdisciplinary approach that both expands the theoretical debate and provides practical guidance for policymakers.

Practical Contribution

For practitioners, the findings reinforce that development must be built from within, not imported. Strengthening governance, investing in human capital, retaining intellectual capacity, and fostering SMEs are practical pathways. The recommendations provided are evidence-based, context-sensitive, and directly linked to the region’s structural realities.

Conclusion

Building Southern African countries from within is both an urgent necessity and a long-term challenge that requires visionary leadership, strong institutions, and inclusive development strategies. The findings of this study reveal that the region’s persistent obstacles underutilization of human resources, entrenched poverty, weak financial reserves, policy inconsistency, and politicization of institutions continue to limit progress despite the region’s abundant natural and human resources. Addressing these issues requires more than superficial policy adjustments; it demands a comprehensive rethinking of governance, development strategies, and institutional priorities.

The discussion has demonstrated that development in Southern Africa cannot be achieved through reliance on externally imposed solutions. While international aid and donor support may provide short-term relief, they often foster dependency and undermine local policy ownership. Sustainable development must instead be grounded in context-specific approaches that recognize the unique cultural, political, and economic realities of each country. Central to this approach is the adaptation of SMART goals to local contexts, ensuring that policies are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-bound. Without such tailored strategies, development efforts risk remaining rhetorical rather than transformational.

The study also underscores the critical role of human capital. Retaining intellectual capacity within the region is essential for ensuring effective governance and long-term institutional resilience. The emigration of professionals weakens states at precisely the moment when they require expertise to manage complex development challenges. Governments must therefore invest in higher education, professional development, and competitive compensation to ensure that skilled workers remain within the region. Beyond retention, human capital development must also address systemic inequalities in access to education, training, and employment opportunities, particularly for women and marginalized groups.

Equally important is the need to strengthen governance and accountability. Political instability and the politicization of public institutions undermine trust and weaken the legitimacy of the state. Reforming governance structures to promote transparency, judicial independence, and citizen participation will be essential for rebuilding credibility and enabling more effective policy implementation. Stronger accountability mechanisms will also ensure that resources are directed toward long-term developmental priorities rather than short-term political gains.

Economically, Southern African states must embrace mixed economic models that balance the efficiency of markets with the social responsibilities of the state. Encouraging private sector growth, particularly through the development of small and medium-sized enterprises, can generate employment, foster innovation, and reduce dependence on the state for job creation. Regional integration should also be prioritized, as deeper trade and investment ties within Southern Africa will reduce reliance on external markets and promote collective resilience.

The originality of this study lies in its emphasis on building Southern Africa from within rather than relying primarily on external frameworks. By highlighting the interplay of governance, human capital, and structural reform, it provides a holistic understanding of the barriers to development and offers context-driven solutions. The recommendations presented contextualizing SMART goals, strengthening governance, retaining intellectual capacity, fostering private sector growth, and reducing external dependency together form a roadmap for sustainable development that is both practical and achievable.

In conclusion, building Southern African countries from within requires more than political slogans or donor-driven reforms. It calls for political will, intellectual investment, and a collective commitment to inclusive governance. The path forward must prioritize internal reforms, empower citizens, and create resilient institutions capable of adapting to global and regional changes. If pursued with determination and inclusivity, this bold step has the potential to transform Southern Africa from a region marked by chronic challenges into one that exemplifies sustainable, equitable, and self-reliant development.

References

Asadzadeh, A., Kötter, T., Fekete, A., Moghadas, M., Alizadeh, M., Zebardast, E., Weiss, D., Basirat, M., & Hutter, G. (2022). Urbanization, migration, and the challenges of resilience thinking in urban planning: Insights from two contrasting planning systems in Germany and Iran. *Cities*, 125, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2022.103642>

Bosmans, G., Van Vlierberghe, L., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Kobak, R., Hermans, D., & Van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2022). A Learning Theory Approach to Attachment Theory: Exploring Clinical Applications. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 25(3), 591–612. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-021-00377-x>

Bozos, K., Filatotchev, I., & Goergen, M. (2025). Corporate governance, finance, and global strategy. *International Business Review*, 34(6), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2025.102499>

Chukwuebuka, U. B., Ado, U., Odewuyi, G. M., Adjei, K. Y., Eradiri, B. M., & Bakare, I. A. (2025). Reassessing trade liberalization as a tool for poverty reduction in Low-Income countries. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 6(7), 5781–5798. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0725.2707>

Feng, M., Zou, D., & Hafeez, M. (2024). Mineral resource volatility and green growth: The role of technological development, environmental policy stringency, and trade openness. *Resources Policy*, 89, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104630>

Fernández, D. L., & Oliver, M. (2025). Methodology, strategies, and factors for business innovation in large companies. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 9(2), 91–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2025.02.002>

Girei, E. (2022). Managerialisation, accountability and everyday resistance in the NGO sector: Whose interests matter? *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 92, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2022.102418>

Islam, H. (2024). Nexus of economic, social, and environmental factors on sustainable development goals: The moderating role of technological advancement and green innovation. *Innovation and Green Development*, 4(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100183>

Knight, J., Flack-Davison, E., Engelbrecht, S., Visagie, R. G., Beukes, W., & Mwale, M. (2023). Compliance with the Nagoya protocol in the Southern African Development Community. *South African Journal of Botany*, 159, 302–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2023.06.015>

Lwamba, E., Shisler, S., Ridlehoover, W., Kupfer, M., Tshabalala, N., Nduku, P., Langer, L., Grant, S., Sonnenfeld, A., Anda, D., Eyers, J., & Snilstveit, B. (2022). Strengthening women's empowerment and gender equality in fragile contexts towards peaceful and inclusive societies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 18(1), 1–264. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1214>

Marie, Z. (2024). Governance challenges: Achieving sustainable development in developing countries. *Arab Journal of Administration*, 46(6), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.21608/aja.2024.316830.1707>

Matlala, L. S. (2025). Barriers to the Institutionalisation of outcome-based approaches in South Africa's Public sector. *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review*, 13(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4102/apsdpr.v13i1.939>

Millard, J., & Fucci, V. (2023). The role of social innovation in tackling global poverty and vulnerability. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 8, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.966918>

Mkhize, N., Moyo, S., & Mahoa, S. (2024). African solutions to African problems: a narrative of corruption in postcolonial Africa. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2327133>

Moghrabi, I. a. R., Bhat, S. A., Szcuklo, P., AlKhaled, R. A., & Dar, M. A. (2023). Digital transformation and its influence on sustainable manufacturing and business practices. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043010>

Peters, A., Palomo, R., & Pittet, D. (2020). The great nursing brain drain and its effects on patient safety. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 9(1), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-020-00719-4>

Smith, W. (2024). Impact of political instability on social development. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences*, 3(2), 56–77. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.2080>

Sukare, N. B. M., & Abdullahi, N. A. (2025). Ethnicity and Primordial Cleavages: A Bane to Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *International Journal of African Sustainable Development Research*, 9, 40–63. <https://doi.org/10.70382/tijasdr.v09i2.055>

Tal, A. (2025). The environmental impacts of overpopulation. *Encyclopedia*, 5(2), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia5020045>