MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, Jan-June 2026, Pages: 315-324

LPD: A Driver of Culturally-Based Economic Development

[1]I Wayan Ruspendi Junaedi, [2]Jony Oktavian Haryanto, [3]I Wayan Damayana
[1] Magister Manajement Program Study, Dhyana Pura University, Badung, Bali, Indonesia
Email: ruspendijunaedi@undhirabali.ac.id
[2]President University, Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: Jony.haryanto@president.ac.id
[3] Manajement Program Study, Dhyana Pura University, Badung, Bali, Indonesia
Email: damayana@undhirabali.ac.id
Corresponding Author: ruspendijunaedi@undhirabali.ac.id

Abstract

Conventional development finance models often overlook the cultural and institutional contexts in which economic activities are embedded. This study examines *Lembaga Perkreditan Desa* (LPD) in Bali, Indonesia, as an indigenous financial institution that operates at the intersection of economic, social, and cultural governance. Unlike formal microfinance institutions, LPDs are embedded within customary village systems and guided by the *Tri Hita Karana* philosophy, which emphasizes harmony between social, environmental, and spiritual dimensions.

This study addresses a critical gap in development literature by analyzing how culturally embedded financial institutions contribute to local economic development beyond income generation. Using a qualitative research design, data were collected through in-depth interviews, document analysis, and institutional observations across selected customary villages in Bali. The analysis integrates Social Capital Theory, Transaction Cost Economics, the Raiffeisen Cooperative Model, and Amartya Sen's Capability Approach.The findings demonstrate that LPDs function as hybrid development institutions. Cultural norms and social capital significantly reduce transaction costs, enhance trust, and strengthen accountability mechanisms, enabling inclusive access to finance. Moreover, LPDs expand community capabilities by supporting education, entrepreneurship, social security, and cultural continuity.

This study contributes to development theory by demonstrating that culturally embedded financial institutions can simultaneously achieve economic efficiency, social cohesion, and capability expansion. Although empirically grounded in Bali, the analytical framework developed in this paper is transferable to other indigenous and community-based financial systems across the Global South.

Keywords: indigenous institutions; development finance; social capital; culture and development; Indonesia

1. Introduction

1.1 Development finance and institutional embeddedness

Mainstream development finance models have largely promoted formal banking expansion and standardized microfinance schemes as universal solutions to poverty reduction (Bateman, 2012). However, these approaches frequently underestimate the extent to which economic transactions are embedded in social relations, cultural norms, and informal governance structures.

Recent development scholarship increasingly recognizes that institutional effectiveness depends not only on financial design but also on social legitimacy and moral authority. In many rural contexts, locally embedded financial institutions have demonstrated greater resilience and inclusiveness than externally imposed financial models (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2019).

1.2 Indigenous financial institutions as development actors

Indigenous financial institutions represent a distinct category of development actors operating at the intersection of economic intermediation and community governance. These institutions are characterized by strong territorial embeddedness, shared normative frameworks, and collective accountability mechanisms (Sevak & Baker, 2022).

Lembaga Perkreditan Desa (LPD) constitutes an empirical example of such institutions, operating within customary governance systems where economic transactions are regulated through both formal rules and informal social sanctions.

1.3 Research gap and theoretical contribution

While extensive literature examines microfinance and rural banking, indigenous financial institutions remain under-theorized within development economics. Existing studies often isolate financial performance from broader institutional and cultural dynamics (Sprengholz, Wieber, & Holst, 2022).

This study addresses this gap by conceptualizing indigenous financial institutions as **hybrid development institutions**, integrating economic efficiency, social capital, and normative governance within a single analytical framework. (Hoye, 2022)

Previous research on rural finance and microfinance institutions has predominantly examined formal banking systems, cooperatives, and microcredit programs. While studies on social capital and local institutions exist, they often treat culture as a peripheral variable rather than a core institutional foundation.

Specifically, there is a lack of integrative theoretical analysis that explains how cultural philosophy, social capital, and institutional design interact to produce sustainable economic outcomes. This gap limits the understanding of LPDs as holistic development institutions rather than merely localized financial entities.

1.4 Research Urgency

The urgency of this research is heightened by increasing pressures on rural economies, including globalization, tourism dependency, and economic shocks such as pandemics. Understanding how culturally rooted institutions like LPDs enhance economic resilience is crucial for designing inclusive development strategies in Indonesia and beyond.

1.5 Novelty of the Study

The novelty of this study lies in its theoretical integration. By synthesizing five major theoretical perspectives, this research proposes a new analytical framework for understanding culturally based economic institutions. This framework transcends conventional economic analysis by incorporating moral, social, and capability dimensions. (Pereira, Britto, & Romero, 2025)

1.6 Research Questions

1. How do LPDs function as drivers of local economic development?
2. What cultural and institutional mechanisms underpin LPD performance?
3. How does the integration of cultural values reduce transaction costs and enhance trust?
4. In what ways do LPDs expand community capabilities?

1.7 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Analyze the institutional role of LPDs in local economic development.
2. Examine the cultural foundations of LPD governance.
3. Develop an integrative theoretical framework explaining LPD sustainability.
4. Provide policy-relevant insights for culturally based development models.

1.8 Practical Benefits for Indonesia

This research offers practical benefits by informing national financial inclusion policies, strengthening village-owned enterprises, and promoting culturally sensitive development strategies aligned with Indonesia's diverse socio-cultural landscape.

2. Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

2.1 Social Capital Theory

Recent studies (2019–2024) emphasize social capital as a critical determinant of institutional effectiveness in community-based finance. Trust, shared norms, and network density reduce information asymmetry and moral hazard, enhancing financial sustainability. (Anderberg & Morsink, 2020), (Smith & Frankenberger, 2022), (Aidoo, Agyapong, & Mensah, 2020)

In the context of LPDs, social capital is institutionalized through customary law and communal sanctions, reinforcing accountability beyond formal contracts.(Paganelli & Schumacher, 2019), (Zmyślony, Leszczyński, Waligóra, & Alejziak, 2020),

2.2 Normative governance and cultural frameworks

Normative governance frameworks play a critical role in shaping economic behavior by embedding moral obligations and collective accountability into institutional practices. Rather than functioning as cultural artifacts, such frameworks operate as informal regulatory systems that complement formal economic rules. (Surmaini et al., 2023)

In this study, *Tri Hita Karana* is analytically treated as a normative governance framework that structures economic decision-making, surplus distribution, and institutional accountability.

2.3 Transaction Cost Economics

Recent applications of Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) suggest that culturally embedded institutions significantly reduce enforcement and monitoring costs. In LPDs, social sanctions and moral obligations substitute for costly legal mechanisms. (Williamson, 2010)

2.4 Raiffeisen Cooperative Model

Modern cooperative studies reaffirm the relevance of the Raiffeisen model in rural finance, emphasizing member ownership, local knowledge, and solidarity. LPDs reflect this model while extending it through cultural and religious integration. (Störring, 2022)

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, Jan-June 2026, Pages: 315-324

2.5 Amartya Sen's Capability Approach

Recent development literature applies Sen's framework to local institutions, highlighting their role in expanding human capabilities rather than merely increasing income (Ragkousis, 2024). LPDs enhance access to finance, education, and social security, thereby expanding community freedoms. (Birchall, 1997), (Adami, 2021)

2.6 Integrative and Comparative Theoretical Synthesis

Integrative Synthesis:

LPDs represent a convergence of social capital (trust and norms), cultural philosophy (*Tri Hita Karana*), economic efficiency (TCE), cooperative governance (Raiffeisen), and human development (Capability Approach). This integration explains their resilience and developmental impact.

Comparative Synthesis:

Compared to conventional microfinance institutions, LPDs demonstrate lower transaction costs, stronger social legitimacy, and broader developmental outcomes. Unlike profit-oriented banks, LPDs internalize social and cultural objectives as core institutional mandates.

This theoretical synthesis positions LPDs as a globally relevant model of culturally based economic development, offering valuable lessons for sustainable development in pluralistic societies.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **qualitative research design** with an **interpretive and institutional approach** to examine the role of *Lembaga Perkreditan Desa* (LPD) as a driver of culturally-based economic development. A qualitative approach is particularly appropriate because the research seeks to understand meanings, values, norms, and institutional practices embedded in local culture that cannot be adequately captured through quantitative indicators alone. (Lim, 2025)

The research is grounded in a **constructivist paradigm**, which views economic institutions as socially constructed entities shaped by cultural values, collective norms, and historical contexts (Mills, Bonner, & Francis, 2006), (Annells, 1996). This paradigm allows the study to explore how economic behavior within LPDs is influenced by Balinese cultural philosophy, especially *Tri Hita Karana*.

3.2 Research Approach

The study employs a **case-based qualitative approach** (Rogo, 2024), focusing on LPDs operating within *desa adat* (customary villages) in Bali. LPDs are treated not merely as financial organizations but as **embedded institutions** that integrate economic, social, and cultural functions.(Dewi & Pradipa, 2024)

This qualitative approach enables an in-depth exploration of the institutional dynamics through which *Lembaga Perkreditan Desa* (LPD) operates as a culturally embedded development institution. First, it allows a detailed examination of **institutional governance mechanisms** (Ballester, Gonzalez-Urteaga, & Martinez, 2020), including decision-making processes, accountability structures, and enforcement arrangements that combine formal rules with informal normative controls. By focusing on how governance is exercised in practice rather than solely through formal regulations, the study captures the hybrid nature of LPD governance, where moral authority and collective accountability complement administrative procedures.

Second, the approach facilitates an analysis of **cultural norms shaping economic transactions**. (Abukari & Mwalyosi, 2020), (Muhdiarta, 2025). Rather than treating culture as a background variable, this study examines how shared values, moral obligations, and socially enforced expectations influence lending behavior, repayment discipline, and risk management. These cultural norms function as informal regulatory mechanisms that shape economic behavior and reduce opportunistic actions, thereby lowering transaction costs and enhancing institutional sustainability.(Putri & Withnall, 2018), (Bushee, Carter, & Gerakos, 2014)

Third, the qualitative design enables an exploration of **community perceptions regarding the legitimacy and effectiveness of LPDs**. Understanding how community members interpret and evaluate LPD performance is essential, as institutional legitimacy in culturally embedded contexts derives not only from financial performance but also from alignment with shared norms and collective interests. This perspective highlights the role of trust, social approval, and reputational considerations in sustaining participation and compliance. (Raj Mehndiratta, Picado, & Venter, 2003)

Finally, this approach allows for an assessment of **the developmental outcomes of LPD operations** beyond conventional financial indicators (Marques & Guerra, 2020). By examining how access to financial services influences livelihoods, social security, education, and community participation, the study captures the broader development impacts of LPDs. This perspective aligns with the Capability Approach, emphasizing how financial institutions contribute to the expansion of human capabilities and community resilience rather than merely increasing income or credit volume.

3.3 Data Sources

1. The study draws on **multiple data sources** to enhance analytical rigor and ensure methodological triangulation. **Primary data** were collected through in-depth, semi-structured interviews with key institutional actors, including LPD managers and operational staff, customary village leaders, and LPD customers as well as community members (Marques & Guerra, 2020). These interviews were designed to capture diverse perspectives on governance practices, cultural norms, and developmental impacts of LPD operations. In addition, direct observations of LPD daily operations and village-level meetings related to financial decision-making were conducted to document institutional practices, interaction patterns, and informal governance mechanisms that may not be fully articulated in interview settings.
2. To complement and contextualize the primary data, the study also employs **secondary data** sources. These include official LPD regulations and customary governance documents, financial statements and annual reports of selected LPDs, and government policy documents related to rural finance and village-level development. Furthermore, recent academic journal articles and institutional reports published within the last five years were systematically reviewed to situate the empirical findings within current debates in development economics, institutional analysis, and financial inclusion. The integration of primary and secondary data allows for cross-validation of findings and strengthens the credibility of the study's analytical conclusions.

3.4 Data Collection Techniques

Data were collected using the following techniques:

- **Semi-Structured Interviews:**
  Interviews were designed to capture narratives regarding trust, cultural values, governance, and development impacts. This format allowed flexibility to explore emerging themes.

- **Document Analysis:**

  Institutional documents were analyzed to understand formal governance structures, accountability mechanisms, and regulatory frameworks.

- **Participant Observation:**

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, Jan-June 2026, Pages: 315-324

Observations were conducted to capture informal practices, social interactions, and cultural rituals related to LPD activities.

3.5 Data Analysis Method

Data Analysis and Rigor

Data were analyzed using thematic analysis. The process involved open, axial, and selective coding to identify recurrent patterns across interviews, institutional documents, and observational notes. The integrated theoretical framework guided interpretation, ensuring that emergent themes were conceptually grounded. Triangulation across multiple data sources and member checking enhanced credibility.

3.6 Research Validity and Trustworthiness

To ensure the **credibility and trustworthiness** of the qualitative findings, this study employed several established validation strategies (Cope, 2014). **Data triangulation** (Thurmond, 2001) was conducted by systematically comparing evidence derived from interviews, observations, and documentary sources to identify consistent patterns and mitigate source-specific bias (Jentoft & Olsen, 2019). In addition, **member checking** was undertaken with selected key informants to verify the accuracy of interpretations and to ensure that the analytical conclusions adequately reflected participants' perspectives (McKim, 2023), (Candela, 2019).

The study also applies **thick description** to enhance transferability by providing sufficiently rich and contextualized accounts of institutional practices and governance mechanisms, enabling readers to assess the applicability of the findings to other settings with similar institutional characteristics (Younas et al., 2023). Finally, **researcher reflexivity** was maintained throughout the research process by critically reflecting on the researcher's positionality, assumptions, and potential influences on data interpretation. This reflexive practice helped minimize analytical bias and strengthened the overall rigor of the study.

4. Discussion

4.1 Indigenous hybrid development institutions

The findings indicate that LPDs function as indigenous hybrid development institutions, combining financial intermediation with normative governance. Institutional legitimacy is derived not from regulatory compliance alone but from alignment with shared moral expectations and collective values. This hybrid configuration enables LPDs to operate effectively in contexts where formal enforcement mechanisms are costly or inaccessible.

4.2 Social Capital and Institutional Trust

High levels of trust among community members significantly reduce information asymmetry and default risk. Informal social sanctions and reputational considerations act as effective enforcement mechanisms, supporting Transaction Cost Economics' proposition that non-market governance structures can enhance efficiency. The study confirms that LPDs internalize social capital not as an external factor but as a core institutional asset.

4.3 *Tri Hita Karana* and Sustainable Economic Governance

LPD operations reflect the three interrelated dimensions of the *Tri Hita Karana* normative framework, which structures institutional objectives and resource allocation beyond purely financial considerations. The ***parahyangan*** dimension is manifested through the allocation of a portion of institutional surplus to collectively valued religious and ceremonial activities, reinforcing moral accountability and institutional legitimacy within the community. The ***pawongan*** dimension is reflected in the provision of financial services designed to enhance community welfare, including access to credit for household needs, micro-entrepreneurship, and social protection, thereby strengthening social cohesion and inclusiveness. Meanwhile, the ***palemahan*** dimension is expressed through institutional contributions to environmental sustainability, such as support for village-level environmental maintenance and conservation-related activities.

Together, these dimensions illustrate how LPDs integrate economic performance with social responsibility and environmental stewardship. Rather than representing culturally specific practices alone, *Tri Hita Karana* functions as a normative governance framework that aligns financial intermediation with long-term sustainability objectives, thereby reinforcing the role of LPDs as hybrid development institutions.

This balance ensures that economic development does not undermine social cohesion or cultural continuity, positioning LPDs as sustainable development institutions rather than profit-maximizing entities.

4.4 Capability expansion beyond income

From a capability perspective, the developmental impact of LPDs extends beyond income generation. By facilitating access to credit, social protection, and collective goods, LPDs expand individuals' substantive freedoms and enhance community resilience. (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2019)

This finding reinforces the argument that financial inclusion should be evaluated in terms of capability expansion rather than credit outreach alone.

4.5 LPDs and the Raiffeisen Cooperative Logic

LPDs embody the core principles of the Raiffeisen cooperative model—local ownership, mutual assistance, and member-oriented governance—while surpassing it through deeper cultural integration. Unlike conventional cooperatives, LPD membership is territorially and culturally defined, reinforcing long-term commitment.

4.6 Expanding Community Capabilities

From the perspective of Amartya Sen's Capability Approach, LPDs contribute to development by expanding real freedoms. Access to affordable credit enables households to invest in education, health, entrepreneurship, and cultural activities, enhancing overall well-being beyond income metrics.

4.7 Integrative Theoretical Implications

The findings validate the integrative framework proposed in this study. LPDs demonstrate that sustainable economic development emerges from the **synergy between cultural values, institutional design, and economic rationality**. This challenges the universality of mainstream financial development models.

4.8 Contribution to Development Theory

This study demonstrates that indigenous financial institutions such as LPDs constitute hybrid development actors. (Erdem Türkelli, 2022) Unlike conventional microfinance or cooperative models, LPDs integrate financial intermediation with normative governance and community-based accountability. By embedding social capital within culturally guided enforcement mechanisms, LPDs reduce transaction costs and expand community capabilities. This framework contributes to development theory by:

1. Extending financial inclusion debates from access and credit outreach to capability expansion.
2. Demonstrating the role of normative governance as a critical mechanism in institutional effectiveness.

3. Offering a transferable analytical model applicable to other indigenous and community-based institutions in the Global South.

5. Conclusion and Implications

5.1 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that indigenous financial institutions can function as effective drivers of culturally embedded economic development. By integrating normative governance, social capital, and financial intermediation, such institutions achieve development outcomes that extend beyond conventional efficiency metrics.

Although empirically grounded in Indonesia, the analytical framework developed in this study is applicable to a wide range of indigenous and community-based financial systems across the Global South, offering important insights for development theory and policy.

5.2 Theoretical Contributions

This study contributes to the development and institutional economics literature in several important ways. First, it offers an **integrative theoretical framework** for analyzing indigenous financial institutions by systematically linking social capital, normative governance, institutional efficiency, and human development outcomes within a single analytical lens. This framework moves beyond fragmented or single-theory approaches and provides a more comprehensive understanding of how culturally embedded institutions function as development actors.Second, the study extends **Transaction Cost Economics** by demonstrating that cultural norms and moral obligations can operate as effective transaction cost–reducing mechanisms. Rather than treating culture as an exogenous constraint, the findings show how culturally grounded enforcement and trust-based governance structures substitute for costly formal monitoring and legal enforcement, thereby enhancing institutional efficiency.

Third, this research enriches **development economics** by introducing culturally grounded institutional perspectives into debates on financial inclusion and local development. By conceptualizing indigenous financial institutions as hybrid development institutions, the study challenges universalistic development models and underscores the importance of institutional diversity and cultural context in achieving sustainable development outcomes.

5.3 Practical Implications

For Indonesia, this research provides important policy-relevant evidence that **village-based financial institutions rooted in local cultural frameworks can play a significant role in enhancing financial inclusion**, particularly in contexts where formal financial systems face limitations of trust and accessibility. The findings further suggest that **cultural values should be recognized as productive development assets rather than institutional constraints**, as culturally grounded norms and moral obligations can strengthen governance, accountability, and long-term sustainability. Moreover, the study highlights the need for **national development policies to accommodate institutional diversity**, allowing indigenous and community-based financial institutions to coexist with formal financial systems. Such an approach can improve the effectiveness of financial inclusion strategies while respecting Indonesia’s socio-cultural heterogeneity and supporting inclusive and sustainable development.

5.4 Policy Implications

Based on the findings, policymakers are encouraged to **strengthen the legal and regulatory recognition of indigenous and community-based financial institutions**, ensuring that such institutions operate within a supportive yet flexible governance framework. The study also suggests the importance of **integrating culturally grounded governance mechanisms into rural development and financial inclusion programs**, particularly in contexts where informal norms and social accountability play a central role in economic coordination. Finally, rather than replicating institutional forms mechanically, policymakers may consider **adapting LPD-like models in other regions characterized by strong local institutions and social capital**, allowing for contextual variation while preserving core principles of community-based governance and accountability.

5.5 Limitations and Future Research

This study is limited by its qualitative scope and geographical focus. Future research may employ mixed methods, comparative cross-regional studies, or quantitative impact evaluations to further validate and generalize the findings.

5.6 Final Remark

LPDs illustrate that economic development grounded in local culture is not only viable but also resilient and inclusive. As global development challenges intensify, such culturally based institutional models offer valuable lessons for sustainable and humane economic development.

References

Abukari, H., & Mwalyosi, R. B. (2020). Local communities' perceptions about the impact of protected areas on livelihoods and community development. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *22*, e00909.

Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2019). *The narrow corridor: States, societies, and the fate of liberty: Winners of the 2024 Nobel Prize in economics*. Penguin UK.

Adami, R. (2021). Revisiting the past: human rights education and epistemic justice. *Human Rights Education Review*, *4*(3), 5–23.

Aidoo, S. O., Agyapong, A., & Mensah, H. K. (2020). Social capital and performance of SMEs: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and managerial capability. *Africa Journal of Management*, *6*(4), 377–406.

Anderberg, D., & Morsink, K. (2020). The introduction of formal insurance and its effect on redistribution. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, *179*, 22–45.

Annells, M. (1996). Grounded theory method: Philosophical perspectives, paradigm of inquiry, and postmodernism. *Qualitative Health Research*, *6*(3), 379–393.

Ballester, L., Gonzalez-Urteaga, A., & Martinez, B. (2020). The role of internal corporate governance mechanisms on default risk: A systematic review for different institutional settings. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *54*, 101293.

Bateman, M. (2012). The role of microfinance in contemporary rural development finance policy and practice: imposing neoliberalism as 'best practice.' *Journal of Agrarian Change*, *12*(4), 587–600.

Birchall, J. (1997). Co-operative Values and Principles: A. *Journal of Co-operative Studies*, *90*, 42–69.

Bushee, B. J., Carter, M. E., & Gerakos, J. (2014). Institutional investor preferences for corporate governance mechanisms. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, *26*(2), 123–149.

Candela, A. G. (2019). Exploring the function of member checking. *The Qualitative Report*, *24*(3), 619–628.

Cope, D. G. (2014). Methods and meanings: credibility and trustworthiness of qualitative research. In *Oncology nursing forum* (Vol. 41).

Dewi[1], N. K. U. K., & Pradipa, N. A. (2024). Microcredit Finance (LPD). In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Green Tourism Applied Science-Social Applied Science 2024 (ICoSTAS-SAS 2024)* (Vol. 308, p. 345). Springer Nature.

Erdem Türkelli, G. (2022). Multistakeholder partnerships for development and the financialization of development assistance. *Development and Change*, *53*(1), 84–116.

Hoye, J. M. (2022). World Development Perspectives. *World Development*, *27*, 100446.

Jentoft, N., & Olsen, T. S. (2019). Against the flow in data collection: How data triangulation combined with a 'slow'interview technique enriches data. *Qualitative Social Work*, *18*(2), 179–193.

Lim, W. M. (2025). What is qualitative research? An overview and guidelines. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, *33*(2), 199–229.

Marques, G. de J., & Guerra, C. G. (2020). Local Development Platforms (LDP): An operational framework for business development. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, *29*(1), 97–109.

McKim, C. (2023). Meaningful member-checking: A structured approach to member-checking. *American Journal of Qualitative Research*, *7*(2), 41–52.

Mills, J., Bonner, A., & Francis, K. (2006). The development of constructivist grounded theory. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *5*(1), 25–35.

Muhdiarta, U. (2025). The Role of Community Participation in Shaping Policies and Its Implications for Government Legitimacy. *The Journal of Academic Science*, *2*(4), 1109–1117.

Paganelli, M. P., & Schumacher, R. (2019). Do not take peace for granted: Adam Smith's warning on the relation between commerce and war. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, *43*(3), 785–797.

Pereira, W. M., Britto, G., & Romero, J. P. (2025). Institutional Duality: Understanding the Relationship Between Culture, Institutions, and Underdevelopment. *Review of Political Economy*, 1–27.

Putri, L. P. Y. K., & Withnall, E. G. (2018). Protecting the Village Credit Institution: Should Traditional Communities Adopt Modern Financial Management Practices? *Udayana Journal of Law and Culture*, *2*(2), 115–139.

Ragkousis, A. (2024). Amartya Sen as a neoclassical economist. *Journal of Economic Issues*, *58*(1), 24–58.

Raj Mehndiratta, S., Picado, R., & Venter, C. (2003). A qualitative survey technique to explore decision making behaviour in new contexts. In *Transport survey quality and innovation* (pp. 303–317). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Rogo, E. J. (2024). Exploring Qualitative Research. *Journal of Dental Hygiene*, *98*(4).

Sevak, K. Y., & Baker, L. (2022). Need-resource indicators and nonprofit human services organization density. *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, *93*(1), 129–160.

Smith, L. C., & Frankenberger, T. R. (2022). Recovering from severe drought in the drylands of Ethiopia: Impact of Comprehensive Resilience Programming. *World Development*, *156*, 105829.

Sprengholz, M., Wieber, A., & Holst, E. (2022). Gender identity and wives' labor market outcomes in West and East Germany between 1983 and 2016. *Socio-Economic Review*, *20*(1), 257–279.

Störring, M. (2022). Contextual economics and its beginnings.

Surmaini, E., Supriatin, L. S., Sarvina, Y., Mahaswa, R. K., Patria, J., Wihardjaka, A., … Dariah, A. (2023). Teknologi dan kearifan lokal untuk adaptasi perubahan iklim.

Thurmond, V. A. (2001). The point of triangulation. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, *33*(3), 253–258.

Williamson, O. E. (2010). Transaction cost economics: The natural progression. *American Economic Review*, *100*(3), 673–690.

Younas, A., Fàbregues, S., Durante, A., Escalante, E. L., Inayat, S., & Ali, P. (2023). Proposing the "MIRACLE" narrative framework for providing thick description in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *22*, 16094069221147162.

Zmyślony, P., Leszczyński, G., Waligóra, A., & Alejziak, W. (2020). The sharing economy and sustainability of urban destinations in the (over) tourism context: The social capital theory perspective. *Sustainability*, *12*(6), 2310.

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, Jan-June 2026, Pages: 315-324