

A RESULT RELATED TO BRÜCK CONJECTURE AND LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIAL

Shubhashish Das, Guddu Kumar* & Manoj Prasad Yadav**

Department of Mathematics
Bharat Sevak Samaj College,
Supaul-852131, Bihar, INDIA
E-mail: dshubhashish.90@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics
Thakur Prasad College,
Madhepura-852113, Bihar, INDIA
E-mail: guddumth@gmail.com

**Department of Mathematics
Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University,
Madhepura-852113, Bihar, INDIA
E-mail: manojkumar946528@gmail.com

Abstract: In connection to Brück conjecture we improve a uniqueness problem for entire functions that share a polynomial with linear differential polynomials.

Keywords and phrases: Brück conjecture, entire function, polynomial sharing, linear differential polynomial

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification : 30D35

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

Let f, g and a be entire functions in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, then we say that f and g share the function a CM (counting multiplicities). If, in particular, a is a constant, then we say that f and g share the value a CM.

For an entire function f , $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ denotes the *maximum modulus function* of f . If the Taylor expansion of f is $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n$ converges for every $r > 0$ and so for any given $r > 0$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| r^n = 0$. Hence the maximum term $\mu(r, f) = \max_{n \geq 0} |a_n| r^n$ is well defined.

Also we define $\nu(r, f)$, the *central index* of f , as the greatest exponent m such that $\mu(r, f) = |a_m| r^m$ {see p.50 [7]}.

Then

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}$$

are respectively called the *order* and *lower order* of f {see p.51 [7]}.

Also

$$\sigma_2(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_2(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}$$

are respectively called the *first iterated order* or *hyper-order* and *first iterated lower order* or *lower hyper-order* of f {see Lemma 2 in [3]}.

In 1977 L. A. Rubel and C. C. Yang [8] first considered the uniqueness problem of values sharing by a nonconstant entire function with its first derivative. This work of Rubel and Yang inspired a lot of researchers to explore such type of problems and extend it to different directions. In this direction, in 1996 R. Brück [2] proposed the following conjecture.

Brück's Conjecture: Let f be a nonconstant entire function such that $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$ and $\sigma_2(f) \notin \mathbb{N}$. If f and $f^{(1)}$ share a finite value a CM, then $f^{(1)} - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

Though Brück himself resolved the conjecture for $a = 0$, the case $a \neq 0$ is not yet fully resolved.

For an entire function of finite order, G. G. Gundersen and L. Z. Yang [5] and L. Z. Yang [10] resolved and generalised Brück conjecture and proved the following results.

Theorem A. [5] Let f be a nonconstant entire function of finite order. If f and $f^{(1)}$ share one finite value a CM, then $f^{(1)} - a = c(f - a)$ for some nonzero constant c .

Theorem B. [10] Let f be a nonconstant entire function of finite order. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share one finite value a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$ for some nonzero constant c .

In 2004 J. P. Wang [9] extended Theorem B by considering polynomial sharing with its higher order derivatives and improved in the following manner.

Theorem C. [9] Let f be a nonconstant entire function of finite order and a be a nonconstant polynomial. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$ for some nonzero constant c .

Afterwards Z. X. Chen and K. H. Shon [4] and I. Lahiri and S. Das [6] extended Theorem A to a class of entire functions of unrestricted order and proved the following theorems.

Theorem D. [4] Let f be a nonconstant entire function with $\sigma_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$. If f and $f^{(1)}$ share a finite value a CM, then $f^{(1)} - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

Theorem E. [6] Let f be a nonconstant entire function with $\lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$. Suppose that $a = a(z)$ is a polynomial. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

In the paper, the aim is to improve Theorem C, Theorem D and Theorem E by considering the following problems:

- (i) Replacement of shared value by shared polynomial;
- (ii) Replacement of higher derivatives by linear differential polynomial.

We now state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. Let f be a nonconstant entire function such that $\sigma(f) \neq 1$, $\lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$. Suppose that $a = a(z)$ is a polynomial.

Let $L(f) = a_0f + a_1f^{(1)} + \dots + a_kf^{(k)}$, where $k(\geq 1)$ is an integer and $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k(\neq 0)$ are constants.

If f and $L(f)$ share a CM, then $L(f) - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

Following example shows that the condition $\sigma(f) \neq 1$ is essential.

Example 1. Let $f(z) = e^z + z$ and $L(f) = f^{(2)} - 2f^{(1)} + f$. Then f and $L(f)$ share z CM but $L(f) - z = -2e^{-z}(f - z)$, where f satisfies $\sigma(f) = 1$.

2. LEMMAS

In this section we present some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. {p.5 [7]} Let $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotone increasing functions such that $g(r) \leq h(r)$ outside of an exceptional set E of finite logarithmic measure. Then for any $\delta > 1$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $g(r) \leq h(r^\delta)$ holds for $r > R$.

Lemma 2.2. {p.9 [7]} Let $P(z) = b_nz^n + b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + b_0$ ($b_n \neq 0$) be a polynomial of degree n . Then for every $\varepsilon(> 0)$ there exists $R(> 0)$ such that for all $|z| = r > R$ we get

$$(1 - \varepsilon)|b_n|r^n \leq |P(z)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)|b_n|r^n.$$

Lemma 2.3. {p.51 [7]} Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then there exists a set $E \subset (1, \infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure such that for $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} = (1 + o(1)) \left\{ \frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right\}^k$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, where n is a positive integer.

Let $h(z)$ be a nonconstant function subharmonic in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} and let

$$A(r) = A(r, h) = \inf_{|z|=r} h(z) \quad \text{and} \quad B(r) = B(r, h) = \sup_{|z|=r} h(z).$$

Then the *order* $\sigma(h)$ and the *lower order* $\lambda(h)$ of h are defined respectively by

$$\sigma(h) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B(r, h)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda(h) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B(r, h)}{\log r}.$$

The *upper logarithmic density* and the *lower logarithmic density* of $E \subset [1, \infty)$ are respectively defined by

$$\overline{\text{logdens}}(E) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \frac{\chi_E(t)}{t} dt}{\log r}$$

and

$$\underline{\text{logdens}}(E) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \frac{\chi_E(t)}{t} dt}{\log r},$$

where χ_E be the *characteristic function* of E .

The quantity $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^r \frac{\chi_E(t)}{t} dt$ defines the *logarithmic measure* of E . It is easy to note that if $\overline{\text{logdens}}(E) > 0$, then E has infinite logarithmic measure.

Lemma 2.4. [1] *Let $h(z)$ be a nonconstant subharmonic function in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} of lower order λ , $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. If $\lambda < \beta < 1$, then*

$$\overline{\text{logdens}}\{r : A(r) > (\cos \beta \pi) B(r)\} \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\beta},$$

where $A(r) = \inf_{|z|=r} h(z)$ and $B(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} h(z)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM [1.1]

Proof. By the hypothesis we have

$$\frac{L(f) - a}{f - a} = e^A, \quad (3.1)$$

where A is an entire function.

If A is a constant, then the result holds clearly. So we suppose that A is a nonconstant entire function and consider the following two cases.

Case 1. Let $\sigma(f) < \infty$. Then from (3.1) we get that A is a polynomial. If $\sigma(f) < 1$, then (3.1) implies that A is a constant. So $\sigma(f) > 1$ and therefore f is a transcendental entire function.

Now we suppose that A is a nonconstant polynomial.

Now for any z with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get by Lemma 2.2 (choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\left| \frac{a(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \frac{M(r, a)}{M(r, f)} \leq \frac{\frac{3}{2}|\alpha|r^{\deg a}}{M(r, f)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where α is the leading coefficient of the polynomial $a(z)$.

Now by Lemma 2.3 there exists $E \subset [1, \infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure such that for $|z| = r \notin E \cup [0, 1]$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^j (1 + o(1)), \quad (3.3)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where n is a positive integer.

Now for all z with $|z| = r \notin E \cup [0, 1]$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get by (3.3)

$$\frac{L(f)}{f} = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^j (1 + o(1)). \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.1) we get

$$e^A = \frac{\frac{L(f)}{f} - \frac{a}{f}}{1 - \frac{a}{f}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now for all z with $|z| = r \notin E \cup [0, 1]$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$, noting that $\sigma(f) > 1$, we get by (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5)

$$e^A = a_0 + a_k \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k (1 + o(1)). \quad (3.6)$$

Now from (3.6) we get for all large $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z)| &= |\log e^{A(z)}| \\ &= \left| \log \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k \right| + o(1) \\ &= |k \log \nu(r, f) - k \log z| + o(1) \\ &\leq k \log \nu(r, f) + k \log r + 6k\pi \\ &< 2k(\sigma(f) + 1) \log r + 6k\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Also by Lemma 2.2 (choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$) we obtain for all large $|z| = r$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|r^{\deg A} \leq |A(z)|, \quad (3.8)$$

where α is the leading coefficient of A .

Now the equations (3.6) and (3.7) together imply $\deg A = 0$ and so A is a constant, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $\sigma(f) = \infty$. We now consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. Let A be a nonconstant polynomial. Then from (3.6) we get for all large $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$

$$|A(z)| \leq k \log \nu(r, f) + k \log r + 6k\pi. \quad (3.9)$$

Then from (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain for all large $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$

$$\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|r^{\deg A} \leq k \log \nu(r, f) + k \log r + 6k\pi. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1 for given δ , $1 < \delta < \frac{3}{2}$ and (3.10), we get for all large values of r

$$\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|r^{\deg A} \leq k \log \nu(r^\delta, f) + k\delta \log r + 6k\pi$$

and so

$$r^{\deg A} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\alpha| - \frac{k\delta \log r}{r^{\deg A}} \right) \leq k \log \nu(r^\delta, f) + 6k\pi.$$

This implies $\deg A \leq \delta \lambda_2(f) < \frac{\delta}{2} < \frac{3}{4} < 1$, a contradiction. Therefore A is a constant.

Subcase 2.2. Let A be a transcendental entire function. Since for an entire function $A(z)$, $h(z) = \log |A(z)|$ is a subharmonic function in \mathbb{C} , and also from (3.1) we get $\lambda(h) = \lambda_2(A) \leq \lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$.

Suppose that $H = \{r : A(r) > (\cos \beta \pi) B(r)\}$, where $A(r) = \inf_{|z|=r} \log |f(z)|$, $B(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} \log |f(z)|$ and $\beta \in (\lambda_2(A), \frac{1}{2})$.

Then by Lemma 2.4 we see that $\log \text{dens} H > 0$, i.e., H has infinite logarithmic measure. Also by Lemma 2.3 for $|z| = r \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k. \quad (3.11)$$

Now by (3.2), (3.5) and (3.11) we get for all large $|z| = r \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$

$$e^{A(z)} = a_0 + a_k \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k (1 + o(1))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z)| &= |\log e^{A(z)}| \\ &= \left| \log \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k \right| + o(1) \\ &= |k \log \nu(r, f) - k \log z| + o(1) \\ &\leq k \log \nu(r, f) + k \log r + 6k\pi \\ &< 2kr^{\sigma_2(f)+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now by Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant c , $0 < c < 1$ such that for all z satisfying $|z| = r \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$, we have

$$(M(r, A))^c < |A(z)|. \quad (3.13)$$

Now by (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$\frac{(M(r, A))^c}{r^{\sigma_2(f)+1}} < 2k. \quad (3.14)$$

This is impossible because A is transcendental and so $\frac{(M(r, A))^c}{r^{\sigma_2(f)+1}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. D. Barry, On a theorem of Kjellberg, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 15(1964), 179-191.
- [2] R. Brück, On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative, Result. Math., 30(1996), 21-24.
- [3] Z. X. Chen and C. C. Yang, Some further results on the zeros and growths of entire solutions of second order linear differential equations, Kodai Math. J., 22(1999), 273-285.
- [4] Z. X. Chen and K. H. Shon, On conjecture of R. Brück concerning the entire function sharing one value CM with its derivative, Taiwanese J. Math., 8(2)(2004), 235-244.
- [5] G. G. Gundersen and L. Z. Yang, Entire functions that share one value with one or two of their derivatives, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 223(1998), 88-95.
- [6] I. Lahiri and S. Das, A note on a conjecture of R. Brück, Appl. Math. E-Note., 21(2021), 152-156.
- [7] I. Laine, Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations, De Gruyter, Berlin, New York (1993).
- [8] L. A. Rubel and C. C. Yang, Values shared by an entire function and its derivative, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 599, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977), 101-103.
- [9] J. P. Wang, Entire functions that share a polynomial with one of their derivatives, Kodai Math. J., 27(2004), 144-151.
- [10] L. Z. Yang, Solution of a differential equation and its applications, Kodai Math. J., 22(1999), 458-464.