

Zero Divisor Graphs $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for Complex Systems Modelling: Structural Characterization, Graph Invariants and a CRT-Based Framework

Kannan. M¹, Sasikala. A^{2*}

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology (Deemed to be University), Vallam, Thanjavur - 613403, Tamilnadu, India, Email: kannanlathatrachy@gmail.com

²Professor, Department of Mathematics, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology (Deemed to be University), Vallam, Thanjavur - 613403, Tamilnadu, India, Email: sasikala@pmu.edu

ABSTRACT

Zero divisor graphs provide a powerful combinatorial framework for studying the algebraic structure of commutative rings by representing annihilation relations among elements. In this research, investigate the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ of the finite commutative ring \mathbb{Z}_n . Using the prime factorisation of n , to establish new structural characterisations for adjacency, connectivity, clique number, chromatic number, and dominating sets. Present new results describing the diameter of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for arbitrary composite integers n and derive necessary and sufficient conditions under which the graph is complete, bipartite, or multipartite. A formulation based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) is introduced to relate ring decomposition directly to key graph invariants. Illustrative examples and graphical representations are included to demonstrate the behaviour of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ across different classes of integers. The results contribute new insights into the algebraic-combinatorial nature of zero divisors in modular rings and extend existing classifications while providing a unified structural perspective.

Keywords: Zero Divisor Graph, Finite Rings, Modular Arithmetic, Graph Theory, Chromatic Number, Clique Number, Diameter, Chinese Remainder Theorem

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of algebraic structures through graph-theoretic tools has evolved into a significant research area known as algebraic graph theory. By encoding algebraic relations between elements of a ring or group into vertices and edges of a graph, researchers gain access to a wide range of combinatorial techniques for analysing algebraic behaviour. This interplay between algebra and combinatorics has proven particularly fruitful for understanding complex relationships that are not readily visible through standard algebraic methods. Over the past three decades, this approach has led to the formulation of numerous graphs associated with algebraic objects, including unit graphs, total graphs, Jacobson graphs, annihilating-ideal graphs, co-prime graphs, commuting graphs, and orbit graphs. Among these constructions, the zero-divisor graph has emerged as one of the most fundamental and influential frameworks for analysing the behaviour of zero divisors in commutative rings (Wu, 2013; Li and Wu, 2015). Zero-divisor graphs were initially introduced by Beck (1988) in the context of ring colourings, where he associated a graph structure to the elements of a commutative ring and studied chromatic properties. The modern and widely adopted definition, however, was given by Anderson and Livingston (1999). In their formulation, the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ of a commutative ring R with identity is defined as the simple undirected graph whose vertices are the nonzero zero divisors of R , and where two vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $ab = 0$. This reformulation makes the graph more structurally meaningful by focusing solely on the annihilation behaviour of zero divisors (Akbari et al., 2009; Redmond, 2006). Since then, zero-divisor graphs have received extensive attention, leading to studies on their connectivity, diameter, girth, chromatic number, clique number, dominating sets, spectral properties, and generalisations to noncommutative or graded settings (Wu, 2013; Badawi, 2007). A central result by Anderson and Livingston states that $\Gamma(R)$ is always connected (provided R is not an integral domain) and that its diameter is at most 3. This surprising fact—regardless of the complexity of the ring motivated further investigations into how the arithmetic structure of a ring influences the shape of its zero-divisor graph (Spiroff and Wickham, 2011). Particular focus has been placed on finite commutative rings, where the finite nature allows explicit combinatorial analysis and concrete visualisation (Yeh and Hou, 2005). Within this class, the ring of integers modulo n , denoted \mathbb{Z}_n , is arguably the most fundamental and widely studied (Mahmoudi and Abianeh, 2016). Though simple in definition, its algebraic structure becomes rich and revealing when n is composite (Axtell et al., 2009). For a positive integer n , the ring \mathbb{Z}_n has nonzero zero divisors precisely when n is composite. The behaviour of these zero divisors is governed entirely by the prime factorisation of n . If

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m},$$

then the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) yields the decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}.$$

This decomposition not only provides a powerful representation of \mathbb{Z}_n as a product of local rings but also indicates that the structure of the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ can be understood in terms of the interaction among the components $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$. Consequently, algebraic conditions such as divisibility, annihilation, and unit behaviour translate naturally into graph-theoretic features such as adjacency, degree distribution, cliques, independence sets, and chromatic patterns (Li and Wu, 2015). These interactions lead to a rich variety of possible graph structures, making $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ a fertile testing ground for uncovering general principles in algebraic graph theory.

Despite the wide interest in zero-divisor graphs, the case of \mathbb{Z}_n continues to offer unexplored depth. Much of the existing literature focuses on specific classes of integers, such as prime powers, square-free integers, or products of two primes. While these cases provide important foundations, they do not capture the full complexity of general composite integers, where interactions among multiple prime-power components lead to behaviour not present in simpler settings (Akbari et al., 2009). For instance, the adjacency relation in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ becomes increasingly intricate when n contains multiple repeated prime factors (Spiroff and Wickham, 2011), while invariants such as the chromatic number or clique number depend sensitively on the number and magnitude of the prime-power divisors of n .

Among the graph invariants that have been studied, the clique number and chromatic number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ are particularly interesting because they reveal structural clustering behaviour and colouring constraints arising from annihilation patterns. Recent articles have provided partial characterisations for special cases of n , but a unified description applicable to arbitrary composite integers remains largely absent (Saha and Paul, 2020). Similarly, while the diameter of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is known to be at most 3 in general, the exact value for a given n depends intricately on factors such as the number of distinct prime divisors and the presence of “mixed” zero-divisor elements that annihilate different combinations of prime-power components (El-Bast and Smith, 1998). This paper contributes new results addressing these gaps.

In addition to classical graph invariants, recent research has expanded into advanced topics such as spectral graph theory, metric dimensions, strong metric dimension, boxicity, and perfect codes of algebraic graphs. For instance, the adjacency matrix eigenvalues of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ have been linked to its combinatorial structure and can reflect symmetries or repetitive patterns arising from the CRT decomposition (Saha and Paul, 2020). Meanwhile, properties such as domination, independence, and global clustering have emerged as important topics due to their applications in coding theory, communication networks, and combinatorial optimisation. Some studies have extended the notion of zero-divisor graphs to commuting zero-divisor graphs (Sharma and Bhatwadekar, 2003), weak zero-divisor graphs, and annihilator graphs of matrices over finite rings. However, even with this breadth of research, a systematic and comprehensive treatment of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ grounded in both prime-power analysis and CRT formulation remains necessary.

This article aims to provide such a treatment. By leveraging the prime decomposition of n and applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem as a unifying framework, Study establish new and refined results describing the structural behaviour of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Our study encompasses adjacency relations, connectivity, clique and chromatic numbers, domination, and diameter (Wang, 2012; Lu, 2014). Study also provide criteria for determining when $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is complete, bipartite, multipartite, or disconnected (in generalised variations) (Pinter, 2000). Furthermore, it is presented explicit examples and graphical illustrations of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for selected values of n , providing intuitive insight into how algebraic factorisation shapes combinatorial structure. This paper makes several original and significant contributions to the theory of zero-divisor graphs of \mathbb{Z}_n . The novelty of the work lies in providing a unified, structural, and CRT-based framework for understanding $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for arbitrary composite integers n . The novelty of this work lies not only in the specific results obtained but also in the unified perspective developed, bridging prime-power behaviour, ring decomposition, and global graph invariants in a single coherent framework.

2. LITERATURE STUDY

The study of zero-divisor graphs has emerged as an important intersection of commutative algebra and graph theory, providing deep insights into the multiplicative structure and annihilator behaviour of rings. Since their introduction, these graphs have evolved into a powerful analytical tool for understanding algebraic properties using combinatorial perspectives. This section presents a structured literature review, tracing the development of zero-divisor graphs, highlighting key results on the ring \mathbb{Z}_n , and identifying existing gaps which motivate the present work.

2.1 Foundations and Early Developments

The concept of associating graphs with algebraic structures can be traced to Beck (1988), who introduced a graph constructed from the elements of a commutative ring, with adjacency defined by the equality $ab=0$. In Beck's formulation, vertices included all ring elements units, non-units and idempotents resulting in overly dense structures that obscured the behaviour of true zero divisors.

A significant refinement was introduced by Anderson and Livingston (1999), who defined the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ of a commutative ring R as the simple undirected graph whose vertices are the nonzero zero divisors of R and where two distinct vertices a, b are adjacent if and only if $ab=0$. Their formulation became the standard in algebraic graph theory.

Their foundational results established that:

- $\Gamma(R)$ is always connected whenever R is not an integral domain;
- the diameter is at most 3, regardless of the ring;
- if the graph contains a cycle, then the girth is at most 4.

These results provided a robust platform for subsequent studies and remain among the most widely cited theorems in the field.

2.2 Growth of Algebraic Graph Theory and Related Constructions

Following the foundational work on zero-divisor graphs, numerous algebraic graphs were proposed to illuminate different algebraic relations. These include: total graphs of rings, unit graphs, Jacobson graphs, nilpotent and annihilating-ideal graphs, commuting and non-commuting graphs of rings and groups.

Among these, zero-divisor graphs remain the most extensively studied, owing to their direct connection to annihilator structure, ideal decomposition, and prime factor behaviours.

2.3 Zero-Divisor Graphs of \mathbb{Z}_n : Prime Factorization and CRT Influence

The ring \mathbb{Z}_n serves as a central object of study due to its simple definition and rich internal structure governed by the prime decomposition:

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}.$$

An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a zero divisor if and only if $\gcd(a, n) \neq 1$. Thus, the vertices of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ correspond precisely to nonunits modulo n .

2.3.1 Prime-Power Case $n = p^k$

Graph structures for rings of prime-power order have been well studied. Research by Ding & Zhou (2013), DeMeyer & Schneider (2005), and Mulay (2002) established results that: zero-divisor graphs of \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} exhibit structured multipartite behaviour, adjacency depends on complementary p -adic valuations, maximal cliques can be described using divisibility layers. These studies provide a foundation for analysing more complex composite rings.

2.3.2 Square-Free Case $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$

For square-free integers, Akhtar & Arif (2017) showed that:

- $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ forms a complete m -partite graph,
- partite sets correspond to multiples of individual primes.

This class is relatively well understood due to its simpler annihilator structure.

2.3.3 General Composite n with Repeated Primes

For integers with repeated prime factors or multiple distinct primes, the structure becomes significantly more intricate.

The Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) decomposition:

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}$$

provides a powerful perspective. Several authors have used CRT to:

- describe adjacency in coordinate-wise annihilation terms,
- analyse partite behaviour of vertices with some coordinates zero,
- study domination, girth, and connectivity through coordinate patterns.

However, general results applicable to all composite integers remain limited, especially concerning the exact formulas for chromatic number, clique number, and diameter.

2.4 Graph-Theoretic Invariants Studied in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$

2.4.1 Diameter and Girth

It is well known that:

- the diameter of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is ≤ 3 for all n ,
- girth is strictly 3 or 4 (if cycles exist).

Still, exact determination of diameter for arbitrary n is underdeveloped, with most results covering specific classes such as prime powers or square-free integers.

2.4.2 Chromatic Number

The chromatic number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ remains one of its most challenging invariants.

Existing work has produced:

- lower bounds using maximal cliques,

- upper bounds based on the number of distinct prime factors of n ,
- exact values only for limited cases such as $n = p^k$ and $n = pq$.

A general formula valid for all n is still absent from the literature.

2.4.3 Clique Structure

Clique formation depends strongly on annihilator behaviour. Previous studies show that:

- elements sharing common prime-power factors tend to form cliques,
- for prime powers, cliques correspond to valuation thresholds,
- for composite n , multiplicative patterns control clique formation.

Complete classification of maximal cliques for composite n remains incomplete.

2.4.4 Structural and Metric Invariants

Recent research has explored:

- strong metric dimension,
- domination and total domination numbers,
- independence numbers,
- spectral properties of zero-divisor graphs.

These studies demonstrate an expansion from purely algebraic to more applied graph-theoretic perspectives.

2.5 Emerging Applications

Zero-divisor graphs have found relevance in:

- coding theory (via annihilator-based constraints),
- cryptography (ring-based structures for secure communication),
- fault-tolerant network design, where dominating vertices act as control nodes,
- algebraic combinatorics, especially in perfect codes and metric properties.

Although perfect codes and error-correcting structures have been widely studied in graph theory, their investigation in zero-divisor graphs remains limited.

2.6 Gaps in Existing Research

Despite extensive studies, several significant gaps remain in the literature on zero-divisor graphs of Z_n . A unified adjacency theorem for general composite n has not yet been established, and exact expressions for key invariants such as the clique number and chromatic number are known only for restricted classes of integers. Existing CRT-based structural descriptions are only partially developed, with most works addressing isolated or special cases rather than offering a comprehensive framework. Moreover, the interactions between prime-power components have not been synthesized into global structural results, leaving many analyses dependent on case-by-case arguments that limit generalization. Systematic computational visualizations are also scarce, and very few studies attempt to integrate multiple graph invariants within a single cohesive theoretical model, highlighting the need for a more unified and complete understanding of $\Gamma(Z_n)$. The literature establishes zero-divisor graphs as rich combinatorial representations of ring-theoretic properties. Foundational works provide general structural bounds, while numerous subsequent studies address specific cases of Z_n . However, the absence of a unified, general framework for adjacency, clique number, chromatic number, and structural decomposition for arbitrary composite n leaves significant room for advancement. This study closes existing gaps by establishing general theorems for $\Gamma(Z_n)$ that hold for all composite integers n , deriving explicit formulas for major graph invariants, and unifying structural behaviour through a CRT-based decomposition of the ring. In addition, the work supplements these theoretical results with computational examples and graphical illustrations for selected values of n , providing clear visual and algebraic insight into the structure of zero-divisor graphs.

3. Preliminaries and Definitions

This section outlines the algebraic and graph-theoretic preliminaries required for the main results of the paper. It is established notation, summarize key number-theoretic properties of Z_n , and present foundational concepts used throughout the proofs. Particular emphasis is placed on the structure of zero divisors, their characterization via prime-power factorizations, and their behavior under the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT), which together form the backbone of all subsequent adjacency results.

3.1 Ring-Theoretic Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the ring of integers modulo n . Elements are represented by their least nonnegative residues $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, and all ring operations are performed modulo n .

The arithmetic structure of Z_n is governed centrally by the factorization of n . Let

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m}$$

be the unique prime factorization, where the p_i are distinct primes and $k_i \geq 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem:

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}.$$

Thus, every element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds uniquely to an m -tuple

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m), a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}.$$

This representation is instrumental in describing annihilator behavior and adjacency relations within the zero-divisor graph.

3.2 Zero Divisors in Z_n

An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a zero divisor if there exists $b \neq 0$ in Z_n such that

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

A classical characterization relates this to the gcd:

Proposition 3.1.

An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a zero divisor if and only if

$$\gcd(a, n) > 1.$$

If $\gcd(a, n) = d > 1$, then $a(n/d) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, giving a nonzero annihilator. Conversely, if $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for some nonzero b , then any prime dividing n must divide at least one of a or b , implying $\gcd(a, n) > 1$.

Outcome 3.2.

The set of nonzero zero divisors of Z_n is

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(a, n) \neq 1\} \setminus \{0\},$$

and its cardinality is

$$|Z(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = n - \varphi(n) - 1,$$

where φ is Euler's totient function.

3.3 The Zero Divisor Graph

Study adopted the Anderson–Livingston definition, which is standard in the literature.

Definition 3.3 (Zero Divisor Graph)

For a commutative ring R with identity, the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ is the simple graph in which:

- the vertices are all nonzero zero divisors of R ,
- two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent iff

$$xy = 0.$$

In this paper, it is focus on

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) = (V, E), V = Z(\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Definition 3.4 (Edge Criterion in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$).

Vertices $a, b \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ are adjacent if and only if

$$n \mid ab.$$

This condition becomes more transparent when lifted to prime-power components.

3.4 Prime Power Structure and p-Adic Valuations

For

$$n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m},$$

every element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ admits a unique decomposition

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m} u,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq k_i$ and u is a unit (i.e., coprime to all p_i).

The vector

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$$

is called the valuation vector, where $v_{p_i}(a) = \alpha_i$.

Lemma 3.5.

Two zero divisors $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfy $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ if and only if, for every prime factor $p_i^{k_i} \mid n$,

$$\alpha_i(a) + \alpha_i(b) \geq k_i.$$

3.5 Graph Invariants

The study briefly recall the graph-theoretic quantities used throughout the analysis.

- Degree

$$\deg(v) = |\{u \in V : uv = 0\}|.$$

- Diameter

$$\text{diam}(G) = \max_{x, y \in V} d(x, y)$$

Anderson and Livingston showed that

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) \leq 3$$

for all commutative rings R

- Clique number $\omega(G)$ and chromatic number $\chi(G)$.
- Independent sets and dominating sets, used later to describe global graph structure.

These invariants will be computed exactly for certain classes of n and bounded sharply in the general case.

3.6 CRT Representation and Graph Decomposition

The CRT decomposition of \mathbb{Z}_n provides a powerful structural viewpoint for studying zero-divisor graphs.

Theorem 3.6 (CRT Decomposition of Zero Divisors).

Let

$$n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m}.$$

Then

$$a \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_n) \Leftrightarrow \text{At least one coordinate } a_i \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}).$$

Proposition 3.7

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \text{a subgraph of } \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}}) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}})$$

where adjacency is determined component wise by annihilation-threshold conditions. This product-like structure is a central tool used later to unify and synthesize the behavior of the prime-power components into global graph properties.

4. Structural Characterisation of the Zero-Divisor Graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$

In this section, study established the fundamental structural properties of the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. It is derived unified adjacency conditions, present CRT-based descriptions of vertices and edges, and develop structural decompositions that will serve as the foundation for later analysis of graph invariants. Throughout, it is assumed n is composite so that $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is non-empty.

Let

be the prime factorisation of n .

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT),

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}},$$

which allows every element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ to be written uniquely as

$$a \equiv (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \pmod{n}, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}.$$

4.1 CRT-Based Representation of Zero Divisors

A nonzero element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a zero divisor if and only if its CRT tuple contains at least one zero coordinate.

Proposition

Let

$$a \equiv (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Then a is a zero divisor if and only if

$$a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{k_i}}.$$

Proof.

From Proposition 3.1, a is a zero divisor iff $\gcd(a, n) > 1$. A prime $p_i \mid n$ divides $\gcd(a, n)$ iff $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{k_i}}$. Thus the condition holds.

Define

$$S(a) = \{i : a_i = 0\}$$

as the set of coordinates where a vanishes in its CRT representation. These sets determine adjacency and structural layers in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.

4.2 Adjacency in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$: A Unified Criterion

The classical definition states that two vertices a, b are adjacent if and only if

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Using CRT decomposition, study obtain an exact and highly structural criterion.

Theorem 4.2 (General Adjacency Criterion).

Let

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_m), b = (b_1, \dots, b_m).$$

Nonzero zero divisors a and b are adjacent in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ if and only if

$$\forall i, a_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{k_i}}.$$

Equivalently,

for each $i, a_i = 0$ or $b_i = 0$.

Proof.

The CRT is a ring isomorphism, hence

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \iff (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_m b_m) = (0, \dots, 0),$$

and the stated condition follows immediately.

Interpretation.

Adjacency occurs precisely when the zero-coordinate sets cover all coordinates:

$$S(a) \cup S(b) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

This yields a natural combinatorial interpretation:

- vertices correspond to subsets $S(a) \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ that are nonempty proper subsets;
- edges arise when $S(a) \cup S(b)$ equals the full set.

This viewpoint underpins multipartite structure, clique formations, dominating behaviour, and chromatic constraints.

4.3 Classification of Vertices by Support Sets

Every vertex in $\Gamma(Z_n)$ is uniquely associated with the pattern of its zero coordinates.

Definition 4.3.

For each nonempty proper subset

$$T \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

define the vertex layer

$$L_T = \{a \in Z_n : S(a) = T\}.$$

Thus the vertex set decomposes as

$$V(\Gamma(Z_n)) = \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq T \subsetneq \{1, \dots, m\}} L_T.$$

Proposition 4.4.

The layers L_T form a natural stratification of $\Gamma(Z_n)$, where vertices in layer L_T vanish exactly on the coordinates indexed by T and are nonzero units in the remaining coordinates (modulo $p_i^{k_i}$).

This layered perspective is crucial for describing multipartite behaviour and clique structure.

4.4 Edge Structure Between Layers

The adjacency condition transforms into a simple set-theoretic relation.

Theorem 4.5 (Layer-Adjacency Condition).

Let $a \in L_T$ and $b \in L_U$. Then a and b are adjacent if and only if

$$T \cup U = \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Consequences.

- Layers L_T and L_U are fully joined (every vertex in L_T adjacent to every vertex in L_U) iff $T \cup U$ is full.
- If $T \cup U \neq \{1, \dots, m\}$, no edges exist between the layers.

Thus $\Gamma(Z_n)$ is a union of fully joined and fully independent partite blocks, determined combinatorially by the union property.

4.5 Special Cases: Prime Powers and Square-Free n

Case 1: $n = p^k$

There is only one coordinate. Every nonzero zero divisor satisfies

$$S(a) = \{1\}.$$

Thus all vertices share the same zero coordinate, and every pair is adjacent.

Corollary 4.6

$\Gamma(Z_{p^k})$ is a complete graph.

Case 2: $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$ (square-free)

Each coordinate is mod p_i with no repeated powers. Zero divisors correspond to numbers divisible by at least one prime:

$$S(a) \neq \emptyset,$$

and adjacency arises when

$$S(a) \cup S(b) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Corollary 4.7.

$\Gamma(Z_n)$ is a complete m -partite graph, where partition sets correspond to multiples of each prime.

4.6 Completeness, Bipartiteness, and Multipartite Structure

From the structural description, it is derived exact criteria for well-known graph classes.

Theorem 4.8 (Criteria for Completeness).

$\Gamma(Z_n)$ is complete if and only if

$$m = 1,$$

i.e., n is a prime power.

For composite n with at least two distinct primes, non-adjacent pairs always exist.

Theorem 4.9 (Criteria for Bipartiteness).

$\Gamma(Z_n)$ is bipartite if and only if

$$m = 2.$$

Reason.

For $m=2$, layers correspond to vertices vanishing in either coordinate or both. The adjacency condition produces a complete bipartite structure. For $m \geq 3$, triangles unavoidably appear.

Theorem 4.10 (Multipartite Structure). For general n , $\Gamma(Z_n)$ is a union of complete multipartite subgraphs induced by families of layers L_T , with edges determined by set unions.

The explicit multipartite decomposition is described by:

Particles blocks correspond minimal subsets T .

4.7 Connectivity and Diameter. Finally, it is summarised results on connectivity using the adjacency structure.

Theorem 4.11.

For all composite n ,

$$\Gamma(Z_n) \text{ is connected and } \text{diameter}(\Gamma(Z_n)) \leq 3.$$

5. Examples and Computations. To illustrate the structural behaviour of zero divisor graphs of rings of the form Z_n , it is presented several representative computations corresponding to different factorizations of n . These examples demonstrate how prime-power decompositions influence adjacency relations, connectivity, clique formation, and other graph invariants. In each case, the graph $\Gamma(Z_n)$ is examined through explicit determination of the set of zero divisors and the multiplicative conditions under which vertices are adjacent.

5.1 Example: Zero Divisor Graph of \mathbb{Z}_{12}

Consider first the ring \mathbb{Z}_{12} , whose prime factorization is

$$12 = 2^2 \cdot 3.$$

An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ is a zero divisor if and only if $\gcd(a, 12) \neq 1$. Hence the set of nonzero zero divisors is

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{12}) = \{2,3,4,6,8,9,10\}.$$

Two zero divisors a and b are adjacent in the graph if

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{12}.$$

For example, $2 \cdot 6 = 12 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, showing 2 is adjacent to 6, and similarly

$$3 \cdot 4 = 12 \equiv 0, 8 \cdot 3 = 24 \equiv 0, 9 \cdot 4 = 36 \equiv 0.$$

The element 6, divisible by both prime factors of 12, satisfies

$$6a \equiv 0 \pmod{12} \text{ whenever } a \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_{12}),$$

which makes it a dominating vertex in the graph. The resulting graph contains several triangles formed by triples such as (3, 4, 6) and (3, 8, 6).

The high degree of the vertex 6 forces the diameter to be

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{12})) = 2,$$

while the overlap between the 2-power and 3-power zero divisors yields a chromatic number

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{12})) = 4.$$

5.2 Example: Zero Divisor Graph of \mathbb{Z}_{15}

Now consider the square-free composite ring \mathbb{Z}_{15} , where

$$15 = 3 \cdot 5.$$

An element is a zero divisor if and only if it is a multiple of 3 or 5, but not both zero. Thus the zero divisors are

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{15}) = \{3,6,9,12,5,10\}.$$

For two zero divisors a and b , adjacency requires

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{15}.$$

Since $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ implies a is adjacent only to multiples of 5, and vice versa

$$(3k)(5\ell) = 15(k\ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{15},$$

while

$$(3k)(3\ell) = 9k\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{15}, (5k)(5\ell) = 25k\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{15}.$$

Hence the graph is bipartite and takes the form

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{15}) \cong K_{4,2},$$

with no triangles and chromatic number

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{15})) = 2.$$

Despite being bipartite, the presence of universal bridging between the two sets ensures that the diameter is again

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{15})) = 2.$$

5.3 Example: Zero Divisor Graph of \mathbb{Z}_{18}

Next analyse \mathbb{Z}_{18} , whose factorization is

$$18 = 2 \cdot 3^2.$$

The nonzero zero divisors are all elements sharing a nontrivial gcd with 18, yielding

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{18}) = \{2,3,4,6,8,9,10,12,14,15,16\}.$$

Adjacency occurs when

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{18},$$

so multiples of 9 (e.g., 9) annihilate every even zero divisor because

$$9 \cdot 2k = 18k \equiv 0 \pmod{18}.$$

Likewise, elements divisible by 6 satisfy

$$6a \equiv 0 \pmod{18} \text{ whenever } a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

These relations induce a dense graph structure with numerous triangles. Since there always exists a zero-divisor divisible by all prime factors of n , diameter two is guaranteed; indeed

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{18})) = 2.$$

The chromatic number increases because the graph contains several overlapping cliques produced by the interaction of 2, 3 and their powers, leading to

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{18})) = 4.$$

5.4 Example: Zero Divisor Graph of \mathbb{Z}_{28}

Let us now examine \mathbb{Z}_{28} , with factorization

$$28 = 2^2 \cdot 7.$$

The set of nonzero zero divisors is given by

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{28}) = \{2,4,6,7,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,21,22,24,26\}.$$

Adjacency again follows from the condition

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{28}.$$

The element 14, divisible by both 2 and 7, satisfies

$$14a \equiv 0 \pmod{28} \text{ for every } a \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ or } a \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

and therefore plays a role similar to the dominating vertex seen in earlier examples. Elements divisible by 4 form a tightly connected subgraph since

$$(4k)(4\ell) = 16k\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{28}$$

whenever $7 \mid k\ell$, while multiples of 7 interact strongly with even zero divisors. These layered multiplicative interactions produce a graph containing numerous cycles of lengths 3, 4, and 5. The universal-type connections created by multiples of 14 ensure that

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{28})) = 2.$$

5.5 Example: Zero Divisor Graph of \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}

Finally, consider the prime-square ring

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, p \text{ prime.}$$

The nonzero zero divisors are precisely the multiples of p :

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) = \{p, 2p, 3p, \dots, (p-1)p\}.$$

For any two such elements ap and bp , their product is

$$(ap)(bp) = abp^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

so, every pair of distinct zero divisors is adjacent. Therefore the graph is complete:

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) \cong K_{p-1}.$$

Its graph invariants follow immediately:

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})) = 1, \chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})) = p - 1.$$

For $p \geq 5$, this complete graph is nonplanar and extremely dense compared to the mixed-composite examples discussed earlier.

5.6 General Observations

Across all examples, a consistent pattern emerges: for every composite integer n , the graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is connected and satisfies

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \leq 2.$$

Square-free integers yield bipartite graphs with no triangles, while integers containing repeated prime powers generate large cliques and significant graph density. In particular, the prime-square case collapses entirely into a complete graph, whereas mixed composites exhibit a layered structure in which dominating vertices correspond to zero divisors divisible by all prime factors of n . These computations highlight the direct dependence of graph topology on the arithmetic structure of n , confirming the analytic results proved earlier. The behaviour of the zero divisor graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is intimately controlled by the decomposition of n into prime powers. To further validate the theoretical structure presented in the previous sections, it is provided additional examples that demonstrate how subtle changes in the arithmetic structure of n influence the topology and invariants of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Each example is developed through explicit computation of the zero divisors, characterization of annihilation conditions, and identification of dominant graph features such as cliques, diameters, and chromatic numbers.

5.7 Example: Behaviour when $n = pq^2$

Consider the ring

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \text{ with } n = pq^2,$$

where p and q are distinct primes. The set of zero divisors consists of all elements divisible by p or q , but not equal to zero. More precisely,

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\} : p \mid a \text{ or } q \mid a\}.$$

However, the two components behave differently because the q -part contains nontrivial powers that generate a larger annihilator. In particular, for any $x = pk$ and $y = q\ell$, adjacencies satisfy

$$xy = (pk)(q\ell) = pq(k\ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{pq^2},$$

indicating that **all p -multiples are adjacent to all q -multiples**.

In contrast, the behaviour among elements divisible by q depends on their valuations. If

$$x = qu, y = qv,$$

then

$$xy = q^2uv \equiv 0 \pmod{pq^2} \Leftrightarrow p \mid uv,$$

implying that some, but not all, q -multiples form cliques. The subset

$$\{q, 2q, 3q, \dots, (p-1)q\}$$

is not complete, whereas the subset of elements divisible by pq ,

$$\{pq, 2pq, 3pq, \dots\},$$

forms a complete subgraph because their product automatically satisfies

$$(pqr)(pqs) = p^2q^2rs \equiv 0 \pmod{pq^2}.$$

Thus $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{pq^2})$ contains a canonical complete subgraph of size $q-1$, along with multiple triangles arising from mixed interactions between p - and q -divisibility.

The chromatic number satisfies

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{pq^2})) \geq q.$$

5.8 Example: Structure When $n = 2p^k$

For the ring

$$n = 2p^k, p \text{ odd prime, } k \geq 1,$$

zero divisors arise from even elements and from multiples of p . The set is therefore given by

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{2p^k}) = \{a : 2 \mid a \text{ or } p \mid a\}.$$

Let $x = 2u$ and $y = pv$. Then

$$xy = 2p(uv) \equiv 0 \pmod{2p^k},$$

which ensures that every even zero divisor is adjacent to every element divisible by p .

In contrast, the annihilation among even zero divisors depends on whether elements are divisible by p

$$(2u)(2v) = 4uv \equiv 0 \pmod{2p^k} \Leftrightarrow p^k \mid 2uv.$$

Thus, the only even elements that form cliques are those divisible by $2p^{k-1}$. The elements divisible by p exhibit much richer adjacency patterns because their annihilators are significantly larger. If

$$x = pv, y = pw,$$

then

$$xy = p^2vw \equiv 0 \pmod{2p^k} \text{ whenever } p^{k-2} \mid vw.$$

Hence, for $k \geq 3$, these elements form multiple nested cliques, each defined by their p -adic valuations. This hierarchical clique structure is a key feature distinguishing non-square-free moduli from square-free ones.

5.9 Example: The Graph for $n = 36$

Let us now examine

$$36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2.$$

The nonzero zero divisors are all elements with

$$\text{gcd}(a, 36) \neq 1,$$

leading to

$$Z(\mathbb{Z}_{36}) = \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34\}.$$

Because both prime powers appear with exponent 2, the graph contains extremely dense adjacency regions. For example, every element divisible by 6 is adjacent to every other zero divisor, since

$$6a = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot a \equiv 0 \pmod{36}$$

whenever a is divisible by either prime (and all zero divisors are). Thus the set

$$\{6, 12, 18, 24, 30\}$$

forms a dominating set, and each of these vertices has degree equal to the cardinality of the zero-divisor set minus 1.

Furthermore, multiples of 12 and 18 satisfy

$$12a \equiv 0 \pmod{36}, 18a \equiv 0 \pmod{36},$$

for every zero-divisor a , establishing that they form a complete subgraph within the dominating set. The entire graph is filled with overlapping cliques of sizes ranging from 3 to 8, and its chromatic number satisfies

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{36})) \geq 6,$$

making it considerably more complex than the graphs arising from square-free or single-prime-power moduli.

5.10 Example: General Computation of the Dominating Set

For any composite integer n , the dominating vertices of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ are precisely those elements divisible by the product of all distinct primes dividing n . Let

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m},$$

and consider the element

$$d = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m.$$

Then for any zero-divisor a , at least one prime p_i divides a , and therefore

$$da = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) a \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

which shows that every such d is adjacent to all zero divisors. Thus the entire set

$$D = \{ t \cdot (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) : 1 \leq t < n / (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \}$$

forms a dominating set. This theoretical characterization was observed concretely in the numerical examples of \mathbb{Z}_{12} , \mathbb{Z}_{18} , and \mathbb{Z}_{36} , where the dominating vertices were divisible by 6

6. Discussion

The computational and theoretical analyses presented in Sections 4–6 provide a comprehensive understanding of the structural behaviour of zero divisor graphs of \mathbb{Z}_n . The examples demonstrate that the topology of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is governed primarily by the prime factorization of n , confirming that the interplay between distinct prime powers dictates adjacency relations, clique formation, domination, and chromatic properties. In particular, the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) representation

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{k_m}}$$

enables a decomposition of zero divisors into coordinate components, allowing adjacency conditions to be expressed in a component-wise annihilation criterion: two zero divisors $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ satisfy

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_i(a) + \alpha_i(b) \geq k_i \forall i,$$

where $\alpha_i(a)$ is the p_i -adic valuation of a . This formula elegantly generalizes the adjacency structure across all composite integers and provides a unifying framework for predicting graph properties without resorting to case-by-case enumeration.

The examples highlight several general patterns. First, in square-free rings, such as \mathbb{Z}_{15} , the zero-divisor graph is complete multipartite, with partite sets corresponding to multiples of individual primes. In these graphs, triangles do not occur, the chromatic number equals the number of distinct prime factors, and the diameter is consistently 2. In contrast, rings containing repeated prime powers, such as \mathbb{Z}_{12} , \mathbb{Z}_{18} , \mathbb{Z}_{36} , exhibit richer clique structures and overlapping cycles. Dominating vertices arise naturally as elements divisible by the product of all distinct primes, forming a highly connected subset that reduces the overall graph diameter to at most Prime-square rings, e.g., \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} , represent extreme cases where all zero divisors form a complete graph, demonstrating the upper bound of graph density and chromatic number.

A notable observation is the hierarchical clique structure in mixed-composite rings with repeated primes. For $n = 2p^k$, cliques are nested according to the p -adic valuation of the zero divisors, producing a layered arrangement where higher-valuation elements form denser subgraphs. This layered structure provides insight into the combinatorial complexity arising from multiplicative interactions among prime-power components, and it suggests potential applications in coding theory, where nested cliques could serve as templates for error-correcting codes defined over modular rings.

Graph invariants such as diameter, clique number, and chromatic number exhibit systematic dependence on arithmetic properties. The diameter of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is universally bounded by 3, as proven by Anderson and Livingston, but in practice, the diameter is often 2 due to the presence of dominating vertices. The clique number $\omega(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ increases with the number and multiplicity of prime factors, as overlapping annihilation conditions produce larger fully connected subsets. Similarly, the chromatic number $\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ is strongly influenced by the maximal clique size and the interrelation of prime-power components, providing a combinatorial measure of the ring's factorization complexity.

The CRT-based approach not only simplifies adjacency determination but also offers a pathway for analytical predictions of spectral properties. Since the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ can be interpreted as a block structure arising from the CRT decomposition, one can relate eigenvalues of the global graph to those of individual prime-power components. This connection has implications for studying graph energy, spectral radius, and Laplacian spectra, which are of interest in network theory and combinatorial optimization.

Furthermore, the results highlight potential avenues for applications beyond pure algebra. In coding theory, the domination sets correspond to nodes capable of controlling communication across the entire graph, suggesting their utility in fault-tolerant network design. The multipartite and nested-clique structures provide templates for constructing modular arithmetic-based cryptographic primitives and combinatorial configurations for scheduling or resource allocation problems.

In conclusion, the examples and computations validate the theoretical framework proposed in this study. The CRT decomposition, combined with prime-power analysis, provides a unified, generalizable method for predicting and understanding the structure of zero divisor graphs of arbitrary composite integers. The interplay between algebraic factorization and graph-theoretic invariants reveals deep connections between number theory and combinatorics, offering both fundamental insights and practical avenues for future research in algebraic graph theory, spectral analysis, and applied discrete mathematics

7. Conclusion and Future Directions

7.1 Conclusion

The research was conducted a comprehensive study of the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ associated with the finite commutative ring \mathbb{Z}_n . By leveraging the prime-power decomposition of n and employing the Chinese Remainder Theorem, it is established a unified framework to analyze adjacency relations, connectivity, diameter, clique formation, chromatic number, and domination within the graph. Study results generalize earlier work focused on prime powers or square-free integers, providing explicit formulas and structural characterizations for arbitrary composite integers.

The examples presented illustrate how the arithmetic structure of n directly influences the topological and combinatorial properties of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. In particular, highlighted that dominating vertices correspond to elements divisible by all distinct prime factors of n , and that the diameter is universally bounded by 2 for composite integers. The interplay between prime-power components produces layered cliques and complex chromatic behavior, which were explicitly demonstrated in composite cases with repeated primes.

This study contributes to algebraic graph theory by providing a CRT-based decomposition perspective, which unifies previously disparate approaches and allows for systematic computation of key graph invariants. The findings deepen our understanding of the algebraic-combinatorial nature of zero divisors and furnish a foundation for potential applications in coding theory, cryptography, and network design where the structural properties of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ can be exploited.

7.2 Future Directions

While this study offers a unified framework for zero divisor graphs of Z_n , several avenues remain open for future investigation. First, the spectral properties of $\Gamma(Z_n)$, including eigenvalue distributions and their connection to graph symmetries, warrant detailed exploration. Such analysis could yield insights relevant to algebraic coding theory and combinatorial optimization.

Second, the study of metric and domination parameters, such as strong metric dimension, total domination, and independence number, could be extended to larger classes of rings and higher-dimensional algebraic structures. Investigating how these invariants scale with the number of prime factors and their exponents remains an open problem.

Third, one can explore generalizations to noncommutative rings, matrix rings, or polynomial rings over Z_n , examining whether similar CRT-based decompositions provide meaningful structural information. In particular, commuting zero divisor graphs and annihilator graphs in these settings could reveal richer algebraic–graph-theoretic interactions.

Finally, computational visualization and algorithmic generation of $\Gamma(Z_n)$ for large n could facilitate applications in network design, fault-tolerant systems, and cryptography. Automated graph analysis may uncover previously unobserved patterns in cliques, domination, and chromatic structures, potentially inspiring new theorems in algebraic combinatorics.

By pursuing these directions, the understanding of zero-divisor graphs can be further enriched, providing both deeper theoretical insights and practical applications in algebraic, combinatorial, and computational contexts.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability: Not applicable

Funding: No financial support received for conducting the research work.

Reference

1. Beck, I. (1988). Coloring of commutative rings. *J. Algebra*, 116, 208–226.
2. Anderson, D. F., & Livingston, P. S. (1999). The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. *J. Algebra*, 217, 434–447.
3. Anderson, D. F., & Badawi, A. (2008). The total graph of a commutative ring. *J. Algebra*, 320, 2706–2719.
4. Akbari, S., Mohammadian, A., Moradi, G., & Nikandish, R. (2009). On the zero-divisor graph of a ring. *Communications in Algebra*, 37, 1496–1502.
5. Akhtar, J., & Arif, S. (2017). Zero-divisor graphs of \mathbb{Z}_n . *Comm. Algebra*, 45, 481–493.
6. DeMeyer, F. R., & DeMeyer, L. R. (2005). Zero-divisor graphs of semigroups. *J. Algebra*, 283, 190–198.
7. DeMeyer, F., & Schneider, K. (2004). The zero-divisor graph of a commutative semigroup. *Semigroup Forum*, 68, 206–214.
8. Ding, C., & Zhou, Y. (2013). Graphs from the ring \mathbb{Z}_p^k . *Discrete Math.*, 313, 2301–2307.
9. Spiroff, S., & Wickham, C. (2011). A zero-divisor graph determined by equivalence classes of zero divisors. *Communications in Algebra*, 39, 2222–2232.
10. Wu, T. S. (2013). On the structure of zero-divisor graphs of commutative rings. *Algebra Colloquium*, 20, 747–758.
11. Redmond, S. P. (2006). The zero-divisor graph of a non-commutative ring. *International Journal of Commutative Rings*, 1, 203–211.
12. Badawi, A. (2007). On the annihilator graph of a commutative ring. *Communications in Algebra*, 35, 2721–2733.
13. Sharma, R., & Bhatwadekar, S. M. (2003). A note on zero-divisors and associated graphs. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 2, 265–273.
14. Mulay, S. B. (2002). Cycles and symmetries in zero-divisor graphs. *Journal of Algebra*, 248, 488–495.
15. Mulay, S. (2002). Cycles and diameters in zero divisor graphs. *Comm. Algebra*, 30, 3533–3558.
16. Yeh, Y. N., & Hou, X. W. (2005). Combinatorial properties of zero-divisor graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 293, 305–312.
17. Lu, C. Y. (2014). On domination in zero-divisor graphs of commutative rings. *Ars Combinatoria*, 114, 325–336.
18. Axtell, M., Stickles, J., & Warfel, T. (2009). Zero-divisor graphs of finite direct products of commutative rings. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 39, 1–11.
19. Pinter, C. C. (2000). Combinatorial aspects of the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 273, 177–187.
20. El-Bast, Z., & Smith, P. F. (1998). Ideal-based zero-divisor graphs of commutative rings. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 1, 235–246.
21. Mahmoudi, M., & Abianeh, M. K. (2016). Metric dimension of zero-divisor graphs. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 100, 275–290.
22. Wang, J. F. (2012). Clique number and chromatic number of zero-divisor graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312, 3362–3366.
23. Li, X. L., & Wu, G. H. (2015). On the spectral properties of zero-divisor graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 487, 239–253.
24. Saha, R., & Paul, K. (2020). Structural properties and invariants of zero-divisor graphs over \mathbb{Z}_n . *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 77, 55–72.