
Public Health Law's in India: View from Medical Sociology

Dr. Joydeb Patra,

Assistant Professor, Department of Law,

Brainware University, Kolkata

Email: joydebajoy@gmail.com

Abstract: The public health landscape in India is marked by a complex interplay of social, economic, and cultural factors that significantly influence health outcomes. This abstract explores the public health situation in India through the lens of medical sociology, emphasizing the role of social determinants of health, healthcare access, and community engagement. India faces a dual burden of disease, grappling with both communicable and non-communicable diseases. The socio-economic divide exacerbates health disparities, as marginalized communities often lack access to essential healthcare services. The caste system, gender inequality, and urban-rural disparities further complicate the public health scenario, affecting the availability and quality of care. Cultural beliefs and practices play a crucial role in health behaviors and perceptions, influencing everything from vaccination uptake to the acceptance of modern medical treatments. Moreover, the significance of community health workers, such as ASHAs (Accredited Social Health Activists), highlights the importance of grassroots involvement in promoting health education and preventive measures. Recent initiatives, like the Ayushman Bharat scheme, aim to improve healthcare access and affordability, yet challenges remain in implementation and outreach, particularly in rural areas. This abstract underscores the necessity of a sociological approach to public health in India, advocating for policies that address social inequities and foster community engagement to enhance health outcomes for all segments of the population. By integrating sociological insights into public health strategies, India can move towards a more inclusive and effective healthcare system that prioritizes the health needs of its diverse population.

Keywords: Public Health, Health Laws, Medico-Social Dimension, Sociological Methodology

Introduction: Public health is defined as the science and practice of protecting and improving the health of communities and populations through education, promotion of healthy lifestyles, and research for disease and injury prevention. It encompasses a wide range of activities aimed at ensuring that people can lead healthy lives and includes the development of policies, health services, and interventions that address health issues at a population level.

At the time of the formation of the World Health Organization in 1946, one of its first achievements was to create a brilliant new definition of health: "Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity."¹ This definition has lasted 7 decades without modification as it reflects the multidimensional nature of health. If it has a fault, it lies in its idealism; a "complete state of health" will not be achievable on our planet in the foreseeable future. The mission of public health is therefore to achieve an equitable distribution of health for the total population.

Public health works to monitor disease outbreaks, both acute and chronic, to prevent injuries and to understand the distribution of risk factors in our communities. By its very nature public health is global health as epidemics of disease, whether acute or chronic are no respecter of borders. Those working in public health are expected to promote laws that protect health and the promotion of smoking controls and the use of seatbelts and helmets are important examples in our region. They must also be aware of global problems as the relatively recent problems of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), HIV, and Ebola have shown us.

Public health is the science and practice of protecting and improving the health of populations through organized efforts and informed choices. It encompasses a wide range of activities aimed at preventing disease, promoting health, and prolonging life. Public health includes, but goes beyond the treatment of individuals to encompass health promotion, prevention of disease and disability, recovery and rehabilitation, and disability support.” This framework, together with attention to the social, economic and environmental determinants of health, provides particular relevance to, and expertly informs the Association’s role.

1. **Disease Prevention:** Implementing strategies to reduce the incidence of diseases through vaccination, screenings, and health education.
2. **Health Promotion:** Encouraging healthy behaviors and lifestyles, such as promoting physical activity, nutrition, and mental well-being.
3. **Epidemiology:** Studying the distribution and determinants of health-related events in populations to identify risk factors and inform interventions.
4. **Policy Development:** Advocating for and developing laws and regulations that promote health and ensure access to care, such as tobacco control policies and clean air regulations.
5. **Community Engagement:** Working with communities to understand their health needs and empowering them to take action.
6. **Environmental Health:** Addressing factors in the environment that affect health, including air and water quality, housing, and occupational hazards.
7. **Health Systems and Services:** Ensuring that healthcare systems are effective, accessible, and equitable for all individuals.

Overall, public health focuses on the collective well-being of communities, aiming to create conditions in which people can be healthy.

Public health services in colonial India:

Public health initiatives during the colonial era were mostly directed around safeguarding British citizens and military barracks. The question of whether this was due to fear of inciting enmity by imposing alien practices or frugality when it came to the welfare of the Indian people is hotly debated. Whatever the case, a number of policies made sure that the British were housed in residentially divided neighborhoods with hygienic surroundings. Municipalities had access to equipment for managing liquid, solid, and water waste as well as for ensuring hygienic conditions. The primary aim of the services provided to towns and rural areas was the early identification and containment of outbreaks of highly lethal infectious diseases, such as plague and cholera, before they could spread and even threaten the more affluent people.

Even so, the colonial authorities established remarkable facilities for providing public health services, even for these restricted goals:

- World-class public health research and training institutions, such as the Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine and the All-India School of Public Health and Hygiene. These did basic research such as identifying how malaria is transmitted; produced and tested vaccinations; and offered technical leadership and support as well as training for the public health authorities.
- Public Health legislation along lines then current in Europe.
- National and provincial sanitary departments handled civilian public health services; military medical officers oversaw military cleanliness. They had administrative independence from the Indian Medical Service (IMS) and reported directly to the government.
- Systematic planning and policy development for public health services that addresses all significant risks to the population's health. Annual reports from the Sanitary Departments included data on disease trends and related variables such as seasonal variations and population shifts. Based on this data, projections of possible epidemics were made, necessitating possible advance preparation.

Periodic Sanitary Conferences were established to examine and modify general policy thrusts, and coordinate policies and implementation amongst provinces. The Sanitary Departments were responsible for determining the state of local sanitation and making necessary improvements, vital registration, disease trend monitoring, technical advice on disease control, and immunization programs.

It was expected of them to identify outbreaks early on, track down their origin, and put an end to them swiftly. Comparatively speaking to the Indian Medical Service, its medical team was faster to promote, better paid, and more qualified overall. To enforce sanitary standards, municipal administrations employed "a small army" of supervisors and sanitary inspectors in addition to medical physicians who make up their own public health personnel. The disparity between the health conditions of India and Britain since the 1880s, when significant hygienic measures were implemented in Britain, occasionally caused the hygienic Commissioners to express shame. They often sought to urge the higher administrative authorities to increase the scope of public health services in India.

The annual sanitary reports, which include lines like "if the District Collector does not sanction the construction of an improved washing ghat, even his table linen will be washed in filthy water," are indicative of the continuous conflict within the administration. Both its achievements and shortcomings can be attributed to the minimalist yet methodical colonial approach to public health service delivery. There was a significant decline in epidemic-related death spikes in the first half of the 20th century. By the end of the colonial era, the death rate from diseases like cholera and the plague had sharply decreased, but the death toll from gastroenteritis and malaria remained high. According to Independent India's First Five-Year Plan, barely 3% of Indian houses have a toilet.

Sociological Perspectives as a tool of Research Methodology:

Public health laws are critical in shaping health outcomes and addressing social determinants of health. From the perspective of medical sociology, these laws can be examined through several lenses:

- 1. Social Determinants of Health:** Medical sociology emphasizes how social factors—such as income, education, and environment—affect health. Public health laws can either mitigate or exacerbate these determinants. For instance, laws that promote access to healthcare and healthy living conditions can improve population health, while restrictive laws may disproportionately affect marginalized groups.
- 2. Health Disparities:** Medical sociologists study the systemic inequalities in health outcomes. Public health laws can be a tool for reducing disparities by ensuring equitable access to resources and protections. Evaluating who benefits from these laws reveals underlying social inequities.
- 3. Collective Action and Social Movements:** Laws often emerge from social movements advocating for public health, such as campaigns for tobacco control or vaccination mandates. Medical sociology looks at how these movements mobilize communities and influence policy change, highlighting the role of social advocacy in shaping health legislation.
- 4. Regulation vs. Autonomy:** Public health laws often involve a tension between community welfare and individual rights. Medical sociology explores the ethical implications of such regulations, analyzing how they are justified and their impact on personal freedoms, particularly in controversial areas like mandatory vaccinations or quarantine during epidemics.
- 5. Cultural Context:** Laws do not exist in a vacuum; they are influenced by cultural attitudes toward health, illness, and governance. Medical sociology examines how cultural norms shape public health policies and the acceptance of those laws by different communities.
- 6. Implementation and Enforcement:** The effectiveness of public health laws often depends on how they are implemented. Medical sociology investigates the sociopolitical factors that influence enforcement and compliance, including trust in public institutions and the legal system.
- 7. Policy Evaluation:** Understanding the outcomes of public health laws requires a sociological perspective on policy evaluation, considering both quantitative data (e.g., health statistics) and qualitative insights (e.g., community perceptions and experiences).

Public Health related Laws:

Public health laws in India are designed to protect and promote the health of the population. Here are some key aspects and important legislation related to public health:

- 1. The Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897:**
 - Purpose: Provides the government with the authority to take special measures to control the spread of epidemics.
 - Provisions: Includes measures like quarantines, travel restrictions, and penalties for non-compliance.

2. **The National Health Mission (NHM):**
 - Objective: Aims to provide accessible and affordable healthcare, particularly in rural areas.
 - Components: Includes initiatives for maternal and child health, disease control, and health system strengthening.
3. **The Right to Information Act, 2005:**
 - Significance: Empowers citizens to seek information regarding public health initiatives and services, promoting transparency and accountability.
4. **The Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006:**
 - Objective: Regulates food safety and ensures the availability of safe and wholesome food for human consumption.
 - Provisions: Establishes the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) to oversee food safety regulations.
5. **The Mental Health Care Act, 2017:**
 - Purpose: Aims to provide mental health care and services for persons with mental illness and to protect their rights.
 - Key Features: Emphasizes the right to access mental health services and the need for advance directives.
6. **The Tobacco Control Act (Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003):**
 - Objective: Regulates the production, supply, and distribution of tobacco products and aims to protect public health.
 - Provisions: Includes bans on smoking in public places and restrictions on tobacco advertising.
7. **The National Food Security Act, 2013:**
 - Purpose: Aims to provide subsidized food grains to the poor and improve nutritional outcomes.
 - Key Features: Focuses on food security as a fundamental right and aims to reduce hunger and malnutrition.
8. **The Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954:**
 - Objective: Protects public health by preventing food adulteration and ensuring the quality and safety of food products.
9. **The Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act, 2010:**
 - Purpose: Aims to regulate the registration and standards of clinical establishments to ensure quality healthcare services.
10. **The Transplantation of Human Organs Act, 1994:**
 - Objective: Regulates the removal, storage, and transplantation of human organs to ensure ethical practices in organ donation and transplantation.

Progress and challenges:

Over the past few decades, India has made significant progress in the health sector. The average lifespan has surpassed 67 years, and both the rate of illness incidence and baby and under-five death rates are decreasing. Numerous illnesses, including tetanus, yaws, polio, and guinea worm sickness, have been eliminated. Despite these advancements, it is anticipated that communicable illnesses will remain a significant public health issue in the ensuing decades, endangering both domestic and global health security. In addition to endemic illnesses like tuberculosis (TB), malaria, HIV/AIDS, and neglected tropical diseases, communicable disease outbreaks will continue to pose a threat to public health, necessitating a high level of readiness in terms of early detection and quick response.

Vector-borne illnesses like dengue fever and acute encephalitis syndrome are especially concerning in this context. One of the most important health issues facing humanity is antibiotic resistance, which demands considerable attention. In addition, non-communicable diseases or NCDs are now the main cause of death in the country, amounting to 60% of deaths. Nearly 80% of all deaths from NCDs are caused by four diseases: heart disease, cancer, diabetes, and chronic pulmonary diseases. These four conditions all share four risk factors: using tobacco products, drinking alcohol excessively, eating poorly, and not exercising. The fact that the infant mortality rate (IMR) and maternal mortality ratio (MMR) are still too high is also cause for serious concern. The World Health Organization reports that in 1990, the IMR was 81. The social and economic determinants of health, as well as some new and some old risk factors like globalization, unplanned and unregulated urbanization, changing lifestyles, environmental causes (like air pollution and climate change), and growing media and advertising influence, are actually driving the epidemiological transition. Furthermore, there are still significant gaps in access to health care between the rich and the poor, as well as between people who live in urban and rural areas. For instance, those who are most impoverished and disadvantaged in society are not only more vulnerable to NCDs and infectious diseases, but they are also less equipped to manage the illnesses brought on by these risk factors.

For instance, those who are most impoverished and disadvantaged in society are not only more vulnerable to NCDs and infectious diseases, but they are also less equipped to manage the illnesses brought on by these risk factors. A family's tendency to remain impoverished after a member is ill is partially caused by the high expense of medical care. Overstretched is the health-care system as well.

The public health condition in India is characterized by a mix of significant progress and ongoing challenges:

Progress:

- 1. Improvements in Health Indicators:** There have been notable advancements in life expectancy, infant mortality rates, and maternal health over the past few decades.
- 2. Expanded Vaccination Programs:** Initiatives like the National Immunization Schedule have increased vaccination coverage, reducing the incidence of vaccine-preventable diseases.
- 3. Disease Control Initiatives:** Efforts to control diseases such as tuberculosis, malaria, and HIV/AIDS have seen some success through targeted programs and increased funding.

-
- 4. Infrastructure Development:** Investments in healthcare infrastructure, particularly in rural areas, have improved access to healthcare services.

Challenges:

- 1. Health Inequalities:** There are significant disparities in health outcomes based on socioeconomic status, geography, and caste, with rural populations often facing greater challenges.
- 2. Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs):** The rise of NCDs like diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer poses a growing public health threat, largely due to lifestyle changes and urbanization.
- 3. Infectious Diseases:** Despite progress, infectious diseases remain a major concern, particularly in under-resourced areas.
- 4. Healthcare Access:** Access to quality healthcare remains uneven, with many people relying on out-of-pocket expenses that can lead to financial strain.
- 5. Environmental Health Issues:** Air pollution, water quality, and sanitation continue to impact public health, contributing to various health problems.
- 6. Mental Health:** Mental health issues are often overlooked, with a lack of resources and stigma preventing many from seeking help.

Conclusion:

While India has made strides in improving public health, addressing the ongoing challenges will require sustained efforts in policy implementation, healthcare access, and community engagement to ensure equitable health outcomes for all populations. These laws are crucial for addressing public health challenges in India and aim to promote health equity and access to essential healthcare services. Ongoing efforts to strengthen these laws and their implementation are vital for improving overall public health outcomes. In summary, medical sociology provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between public health laws and societal factors, helping to ensure that health policies are equitable, effective, and culturally relevant.

References:

1. Arnold, David. 1989. "Cholera mortality in British India 1817-1947." In Tim Dyson, ed., *India's Historical Demography*. London: Curzon Press.
2. Barclay, 1954. *Colonial Development and Population in Taiwan*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Beaglehole, Robert (ed.), 2003. *Global Public Health: A New Era* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Beaglehole, Robert, and Ruth Bonita. 1997. *Public health at the crossroads : achievements and prospects*, Cambridge and new York: Cambridge University Press.
4. Das Gupta, Monica, Peyvand Khaleghian, and Rakesh Sarwal. 2003. "Governance of communicable disease control services: a case study and lessons from India." Policy Research Working Paper 3100. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
5. Das Gupta, Monica, and Manju Rani. 2005. "How well does India's federal government perform its essential public health functions?" Policy Research Working Paper 3447. World Bank, Washington, D.C. (forthcoming in *Health Policy*)



6. Duffy, John. 1990. *The Sanitarians: a history of American Public Health*, Urbana and Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Dyson, Tim. 1989. The population history of Berar since 1881 and its potential wider significance. *Indian Economic and Social History Review* 26(2):167-201
7. Easterlin, Richard A. 2004. *How beneficent is the market?: a look at the modern history of mortality, The Reluctant Economist: perspectives on economics, economic history, and demography*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
8. Evans, Richard J. 1987. *Death in Hamburg: Society and Politics in the Cholera Years, 1830- 1910*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
9. Fee, Elizabeth, and Theodore M. Brown. 2004. "Depression-Era Malaria Control in the South." *American Journal of Public Health* 94(10): 1694. Garrett, Laurie. 2000. *Betrayal of Trust*, New York: Hyperion. Government of India. 1996.
10. Report of the Expert Committee on Public Health System. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Government of India. Various years. Planning Commission Five Year Plans (First to Tenth). Government of India. 2002. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
11. National Health Policy. Government of Karnataka. 2001. *Karnataka: towards equity, quality and integrity in health. Final Report of the Task Force on Health and Family Welfare*. Processed. Guha, Sumit. 1993. "Nutrition, Sanitation, Hygiene, and the Likelihood of Death: The British Army in India c. 1870-1920." *Population Studies*, 47(3): 385-401.
12. Harrison, Mark. 1994. *Public Health in British India: Anglo-Indian preventive Medicine 1859- 1914*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
13. Institute of Medicine. 1987. *The Future of Public Health*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.