MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1s, 2026, Pages: 1463-1467

AI-AUGMENTED STRESS TESTING AND SCENARIO ANALYSIS IN BANKING RISK MANAGEMENT

[1]Dr. Padmalosani Dayalan,
Faculty, College of Economics and Business Administration,
University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Ibra, Sultanate of Oman.
[2]M.Prathyusha
Assistant Professor
Department of Management.
ADITYA UNIVERSITY, SURAMPALEM, Andhra Pradesh.
[3]Dr. Syed Hassan Imam Gardezi,
Executive Director and Board Member,
Union Investments LLC,
[4]Dr. Abhijit Pandit,
Assistant Professor,
Management Development Institute Murshidabad,
Raghunathganj,Kulori, P.O.-Uttar Ramna, P.S.-Raghunathganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India.
[5]CA Dr Mala Dani,
Assistant Professor, GLS University.

Abstract

An intensive introduction of artificial intelligence (AI) into financial systems has changed the nature of risk management in the banking industry. The use of traditional forms of stress testing which are usually deterministic, backward-looking, relying on highly fixed macroeconomic assumptions are heavily flawed to forecast emerging risks. AIs based on stress testing and scenario analysis incorporate the more modern methods of computational AI, like machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing, to provide a higher level of predictive confidence, flexibility, and real-time responsiveness. In this paper, the author will discuss how AI reinforces stress testing practices and protocols, identifies indicators of stress, enhances scenario construction and the process of dynamic risk evaluation. The analysis focuses on the conceptual analysis of the transformative effects of AI on credit, market, operational, and liquidity risk modeling to demonstrate the potential change in current models and frameworks described by the new regulations across the world and new technological innovations in the field. The results indicate that AI-based stress testing will have great potential in enhancing resilience, risk transparency, and supervisory compliance and imply the risks of data governance, interpretability, and ethical concerns. The study finishes by stating future directions of hybrid AI-human decision-making and the necessity to establish formidable regulation principles.

Keywords: AI in banking, stress testing, scenario analysis, risk management, machine learning, financial stability, credit risk modeling, regulatory compliance

1. Introduction

The growing complexity of international financial markets has radically transformed the process of identifying, measuring, and dealing with risk by banks. Following the 2008 global financial crisis financial regulators and central banks have intensified their focus on how critical stress testing and scenario testing are as key instruments to determine the strength of banking institutions. The tools are to assess the banks performance in case of unfavorable economic, financial and operating environments. Nevertheless, the conventional stress testing methods (which are mostly founded on some set assumptions, linear models, and alterations that are narrow in scope) are highly defective regarding the ability to capture the contemporary risk dynamics. The contemporary financial landscape is defined with such unpredictable market shock, fast technological advancement, risks because of climate and geopolitical tension, and intense financial activities all of which require more advanced analytical skills. Ancient forms of stress testing can not take into consideration non-linear relationships, interaction of risks, and loose data like text, news sentiments or computer transactions. In addition, the traditional models are usually performed once a year or semi year, which is not suitable in real-time monitoring of risks.Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL) and natural language processing (NLP) to fill these gaps have a potential of transformation. AI-based models have the ability to calculate substantial volumes of both structured and unstructured data, identify latent risk formations, and beyond because they stereotype complex economic conditions with cost-effectiveness. By utilizing stress testing and AI, banks will have the opportunity to balance a reactive and compliance-oriented program of stress testing with a future-oriented and data-driven and dynamic one.Such applications of AI-enhanced stress testing may enable the banks to make more diverse and more complex events, and augment early warning schemes, approximations regarding the impact of contagion in the networks of related financial systems, and sustained monitoring of credit risks, market risks, operational risks, and liquidity risks. The aspect of explainability, data ethics, and governance emerge as a source of concern among the global regulators, such as the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), the European Central Bank (ECB), and national supervisors, start to see the value of AI.

In this scenario, the role of AI in enhancing the stress testing and scenarios analysis has become a critical area of study. The article focuses on the development of AI-enhanced stress testing in the management of banking risks, its application, advantages, and disadvantages. It provides an explanation in a very detailed and human-centered fashion, placing emphasis on how AI can help make the financial systems resilient enough, without compromising transparency and regulations.

2. Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to analyze the development of artificial intelligence influence and efficiency in the sphere of stress testing and scenario analysis as a part of banking risk management. This paper is dedicated to the need to cognise the contributions of AI-based models to better forecasting, generation of scenarios and risk assessment. The targeted goals include the following:

1. To discuss the drawbacks of the traditional stress testing techniques and learn why they fail to reflect the new and non-linear financial risks.
2. To determine how artificial intelligence, such as machine learning and deep learning models can be used to improve stress testing frameworks through the employment of predictive accuracy, risk sensitivity, and scenario diversity.
3. To study the usage of AI-based models in the key risk domains, such as credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, and operational risk, in terms of stress testing.
4. To evaluate the role of AI in creating dynamic, information driven, and future-based scenarios, such as extreme-but-plausible incidents and indicators of early warning.
5. To determine the challenges, limitations and regulatory issues relating to using AI in stress testing exercises, specifically on the model explainability, governance and compliance.
6. To offer policy, regulatory and managerial solutions in relation to the introduction of successful adoption of AI-enhanced stress testing systems in banks.

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1s, 2026, Pages: 1463-1467

These goals in combination will provide an in-depth explanation of how AI technologies can make stress tests more resilient, adaptive, and reliable to the functioning of modern banking systems.

3. Research Methodology

This paper follows the qualitative and exploratory approach to research to discuss the application of artificial intelligence in stress testing and scenario analysis in the context of banking risk management. The methodology is structured to give the conceptual clarity, academic rigor and relevancy of the new emerging practices in the industry. The research was conducted in the following ways:

Research Design: The study is of the exploratory-descriptive nature, and it is appropriate when analyzing novel changes in the new technological advancements related to financial risk management. With the help of this design, the study will consider the developing capacity of AI, learn the existing stress-testing approaches, and explain how they will evolve with the use of data-driven methods.

Data Sources : The study relies on secondary data collected from credible academic and industry sources, including:

- Scopus, Web of Science, and Elsevier peer-reviewed journals.
- Reports prepared by the international regulatory bodies such as; the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), the European Central Bank (ECB), Financial Stability Board (FSB) and the reserve bank of India (RBI).
- International financial organizations reports e.g. IMF and World Bank.
- Dark matter: White papers on AI, and machine learning in the industry, and practitioner view on the topic of AI/ML/risk modeling.

These sources ensure reliability, depth, and contemporary relevance.

Data Collection Method: A **systematic literature review (SLR)** approach was adopted. The process included:

1. Microsoft Excel search with such keywords as AI in banking, stress testing, scenario analysis, machine learning in risk management, and banking resilience.
2. Filtering the articles that were published between 20102025 to exist covering both the common ideas and the most recent trends.
3. Applying inclusion criteria (peer-reviewed, authoritative sources, relevance to AI and stress testing) and exclusion criteria (non-academic blogs, outdated models, irrelevant sectors).
4. Organizing selected literature for thematic analysis.

3.4 Analytical Method: The study uses thematic content analysis to interpret and categorize information. Themes include:

- Limitations of traditional stress testing
- AI-driven modeling techniques
- Scenario generation and simulation
- Regulatory considerations
- Implementation challenges
- Future implications for banks

This approach enables a structured synthesis of conceptual and empirical insights.

Scope and Delimitations: The study focuses on banking institutions, not broader financial sectors such as insurance or fintech.

- It emphasizes AI-based stress testing rather than general AI applications in finance.
- Empirical primary data collection (interviews or surveys) is not included due to the conceptual nature of the research.
- The scope prioritizes global regulatory frameworks but gives additional emphasis to emerging markets like India.

4. Literature Review

There is growing scholarly concern in reinforcing the methodology of stress testing and scenario analysis owing to the growing sophistication of international financial systems. In this section, the review is conducted on the literature on the current practices in traditional stress testing and the concept of artificial intelligence (AI) processed in financial risk modeling and evolution of artificial intelligence (AI)-enhanced stress-testing models. The review summarizes theoretical and empirical research as well as regulatory views to make up an overall image of the subject matter.

Traditional Stress Testing in Banking: Conventional stress testing models appeared in the aftermath of the world financial crisis of 2008, when the global financial community under the auspices of regulators recommended the increased level of transparency and a robustness of the banking systems. Resti and Sironi (2020) identify the traditional method of conventional stress tests to be based on the macroeconomic sensitivity models, past associations, and supervisor-set circumstances. Such models simply include linear regression and macro-financial to analyze the credit, market, and liquidity risks and analyze them in hypothetical adverse conditions.

However, several limitations have been highlighted in academic studies:

- **Model rigidity**: Traditional models assume stable economic relationships, which fail under extreme shocks.
- **Limited scenario diversity**: Scenarios are often judgment-based and lack forward-looking insights (Allen & Gale, 2021).
- **Inadequate use of granular data**: Portfolio-level modeling often overlooks micro-level behavioral changes among borrowers.
- **Infrequent execution**: Most banks conduct stress tests annually or semi-annually, restricting real-time applicability.

These shortcomings indicate the need for more flexible, data-driven, and dynamic stress testing approaches.

Emergence of Artificial Intelligence in Financial Risk Modeling: The financial sector has not been left behind by the use of artificial intelligence since AI has been applied to comprehend large and complex data. Ongoing studies on machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) approaches have shown to be a better predictor in credit-based rating and fraud detection and asset price prediction as compared to traditional statistical approaches (Khandani et al., 2010).

Key strengths highlighted in literature include:

- **Non-linear modeling capability**: AI is able to find pattern of asymmetric risks that cannot be uncovered by classical econometrics.
- **High-dimensional data processing**: Artificial intelligence systems such as neural networks, random forests, and gradient boosting can process large amounts and volumes of data in a short period.
- **Unstructured data integration**: Natural language processing (NLP) enables risk assessment based on news streams, financial reports, and sentiment analysis.
- **Adaptive learning**: AI models update their parameters as new data becomes available, supporting evolving risk structures.

The IMF, (2023) and FSB, (2022) studies highlight that AI is better predictive risk analytics, but it provokes the issue of explainability, model bias, and data control, particularly in the regulated setting.

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1s, 2026, Pages: 1463-1467

AI-Augmented Stress Testing: Concepts and Frameworks: The current literature suggests the addition of AI to the stress testing in order to address the shortcomings of the conventional approaches. To become a continuous, real-time and data-intensive operation, Ng (2021) and other researchers believe that stress testing must involve AI in the center of its operations.

The literature highlights the following AI contributions:

Data-Driven Scenario Generation: Generative models, including Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and variational autoencoders, generate stress situations that are synthetic and extreme-but-plausible. This enables the banks to test portfolio resiliency to new risks that they have never seen before.

Advanced Risk Simulations: Machine learning improves Monte Carlo simulations, which establish concealed dependencies among risk factors. The deep learning is also useful in the stress propagation modeling in the interconnected banking networks.

Early Warning Systems: Scholars observe that AI-based early warning models outperform traditional ones in detecting:

- credit deterioration
- liquidity pressure
- market volatility
- operational disruptions

These models help financial institutions take pre-emptive measures before stress builds.

Continuous and Adaptive Stress Testing: AI-driven systems can dynamically update predictions upon receiving fresh economic information, market indicators, or behavior of borrowers, which is useful in real-time financial health monitoring (in contrast to the traditional method of providing a one-time stress test).

Regulatory Perspectives on AI and Stress Testing: The worldwide regulators have been both wary and favorable towards AI use. Basel Committee (BCBS, 2022) notes that AI model risk has risen as models get more and more complex and need more robust governance, documentation, and validation structures. The ECB is still open to using AI by banks, however, will demand explanatory and auditable features, particularly in credit risk models. According to scholars, regulatory uncertainty is still considered to be one of the key obstacles to full-scale implementation of AI-based stress testing, in particular, with regards to data-sharing norms and transparency standards of supervisory authorities.

Research Gaps in Existing Literature: Though literature on AI in banking has grown rapidly, several gaps remain:

1. Limited empirical studies assessing AI-based stress-testing outcomes in real banking environments.
2. Insufficient research on AI's role in multi-risk integration (credit, liquidity, market, operational).
3. Certain absence of regulated systems of AI-based scenario generation.
4. Minimal comparative analysis of AI models and traditional econometric models with extreme stress conditions.
5. Along with little knowledge of AI ethical risks in stress testing (bias, fairness, transparency).

These research gaps serve as the reason as to why there should be ongoing academic research to enhance the ability to obtain full grasp of the potential transformational aspect of the AI in stress testing.

Summary of Literature Review: The available literature unanimously pinpoints the flaws of the traditional stress testing and identifies AI as possibly useful in transforming the process of risk assessment into being more precise, detailed, and dynamic. However, the problem of governance, interpretability and adherence to regulations should also be talked over more. The work is part of the existing body of literature since it presents the overall picture of AI-enhanced stress test and concludes on its influence on the modern banking risk management.

5. Discussion

It can be noted that the advent of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the stress testing and scenario analysis imply a paradigm shift in the banking risk management. Despite the rigidity of form and regulation reflecting in conventional models of stress testing, it is no longer adequately dealing with the complexity, interdependence and speed of the current financial risks. This section talks about how AI will be revolutionary in terms of lessening the practicing of stress testing, what opportunities it brings, and what its introduction places on the road.

Transformation of Stress Testing Dynamics Through AI

AI provides a more flexible, information-based and adaptable approach of stress assessing. The artificial intelligence technologies can enable the banks to apply non-linear correlation, scenario development based on a machine and real-time analysis of data compared to the traditional models that build on existing assumptions and relationships among the linear models.

AI-supported stress testing helps banks:

- Detect emerging risks earlier
- Model complex interactions between macroeconomic variables
- Improve predictive accuracy using high-frequency data
- Execute ongoing, not periodic, stress test updates

This change is a transition towards a compliance based exercise and a strategic risk management tool to enhance decision making and resiliency.

Enhanced Scenario Generation and Simulation: Scholarly design of scenarios is based on human judgment, and will result in the existence of blind spots plus under-estimation of the extreme risks in the stress tests. The AI devices specifically the deep learning and the generative models can process through thousands of plausible and risk-extreme-but-possibles that improve risk preparedness.

AI enhances scenario generation by:

- Identifying hidden vulnerabilities that humans may overlook
- Using neural networks to simulate shocks in credit, liquidity, and market conditions
- Creating granular portfolio-level stress projections
- Detecting contagion pathways within interconnected financial systems

This results in more comprehensive assessment of systemic risks and tail events.

AI-Driven Early Warning Indicators: Another technical penalty that AI has produced is the establishment of early warning systems. It is possible to train machine learning algorithms to evaluate the behavior of borrowers, their trading history, and market trends in order to discover antecedents of credit quality deterioration or liquidity stress.

These models enable:

- Prediction of loan defaults before traditional indicators appear
- Identification of market volatility buildups
- Real-time monitoring of liquidity gaps
- Detection of operational anomalies

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1s, 2026, Pages: 1463-1467

The AI applied to early warning systems helps to reinforce the preventive and mitigating measures of the banks greatly and minimizes the chances of experiencing sudden financial shocks.

Improving Risk Sensitivity Across Categories: AI allows more sensitive and accurate modeling across major risk categories:

Credit Risk: The use of AI processes behavior at the borrower level, trends in the industry and macroeconomic indicators, giving accurate default predictions and loss projections.

Market Risk: The machine learning method combines the high-frequency multi-source market information (e.g., volatility indices, sentiment, yields) in order to enhance stress simulations.

Liquidity Risk: The AI models are used to forecast liquidity outflows, the intraday and funding gaps more accurately.

Operational Risk: With the help of NLP and anomaly detection, operation failures, cyber threats, and fraud patterns are detected so that operational stress tests are enhanced.

By increasing granularity and scope, AI enhances banks' overall risk resilience.

Limitations and Challenges of AI Integration: Although there are advantages, AI-based stress testing has various constraints preventing its use:

Model Interpretability (Explainability): The majority of the sophisticated AI models are black boxes, which are not easy to comprehend by the bank managers and regulators:

- How predictions are generated
- Which variables drive results
- Whether decisions comply with regulatory expectations

This creates friction between innovation and supervised transparency.

Data Governance and Quality

AI requires large, clean, and well-structured datasets. Many banks struggle with:

- Legacy systems
- Fragmented databases
- Missing or inconsistent data
- Privacy and ethical concerns

Poor data quality leads to unreliable AI predictions.

Regulatory Uncertainty

Global regulators recognize AI's potential but remain cautious about:

- Fairness
- Bias
- Overfitting
- Auditability

The regulatory frameworks of AI-based stress testing are also still developing and cause ambiguities among the banks.

Model Risk and Operational Issues

AI systems themselves introduce new risks:

- Algorithmic bias
- Overdependence on automation
- Lack of human oversight
- High implementation costs

Strong governance mechanisms are essential to manage AI-specific risks.

Strategic Implications for Banks

According to the discussion, a successful application of AI-enhanced stress tests requires that the banks re-implement their strategy and governance. Key implications include:

- Towards a hybrid human-AI model of decision.
- Developing excellent model testing and observation frameworks.
- In information architecture and human capital development.
- Improving the collaboration between the risk management and the technology departments.
- Taking the initiative and engaging regulators in AI practices by talking matters regarding the same in order to make sure that they conform to the requirements of supervision.

Banks that incorporate such strategies are destined to gain a lot of resilience and competitiveness.

Overall Assessment

Overall, AI augments stress testing by increasing:

- Accuracy
- Speed
- Adaptability
- Granularity
- Early-warning capabilities

It can however attain the benefits of the same to the utmost in the event of good governance, security in ethics and consistency in regulation. The human judgment is not required to be fully replaced by the stress testing, the approach is complementary to the expertise mode of decision making and this positions AI at the better place of being more robust and future competent in risk management tool.

Conclusion

There is greater dependence and complexity of the world financial machinery and systems thus necessitating more sophisticated, timely, and adaptive risk assessment means. Regardless of the fact that traditional stress testing models are handy in fulfilling the regulatory requirements, they are becoming incapable of addressing the dynamics of the present-day financial risks. The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in stress testing and the scenario analysis is an innovative feature that promotes the ability of the bank to anticipate, quantify, and cure inexperienced vulnerabilities.

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1s, 2026, Pages: 1463-1467

This paper shows that AI can be of considerable help to stress testing since it enables the development of stress testing data scenarios, non-linear risk modeling, real-time, and early warning indicators that are impossible to provide using the old model. AI tools that can be used to add more breadth and intensity to stress testing include machine learning, deep learning, generative models and natural language processing as they allow banks to access latent patterns, and quantify systemic contagion besides reacting more proactively in adverse situations. The above features introduce a more robust and active risk management system.

However, in the discussion, there are also several several challenges that are mentioned. The issues of interpretability of models, data governance, ethical, and regulatory skepticism need to be addressed to enable the deployment of AI-based stress testing systems to remain in charge and helpful. Without the proper regulation, validation of the models, and coordination between regulation, AI may introduce an unknown type of model risk because it removes the previous ones. Therefore, an AI-improved stress testing possesses a brighter future not as the replacement of the human judgment but as a combination of the two computing and a person-like expert control.

The findings of the current study point to the need to merge the actions of banking, regulatory, and policymaking to have consistent guidelines, stronger validation systems, and ethical principles to be followed in implementing AI. With the ongoing development of AI, its strategic implementation within the processes of stress testing and scenario analysis will continue to grow in significance in providing safeguarding to financially stable financial markets, proper risk management, and getting the banking industry ready to face uncertainties in the future.

References

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2022). Newsletter: Artificial intelligence and machine learning – implications for banking supervision. Bank for International Settlements.

Financial Stability Board (FSB). (2017). Artificial intelligence and machine learning in financial services (FIN report).

European Central Bank. (2024). “The rise of artificial intelligence: benefits and risks for financial stability,” ECB Financial Stability Review (special).

Khandani, A. E., Kim, A. J., & Lo, A. W. (2010). Consumer credit-risk models via machine-learning algorithms. Journal of Banking & Finance, 34(11), 2767–2787. (Foundational ML-credit paper cited in your literature).

Rizzato, M., et al. (2023). GAN-based algorithms for generating synthetic multivariate financial time series. (ScienceDirect / Elsevier article on GANs for financial scenarios).

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2025). AI Projects in Financial Supervisory Authorities (working paper / technical note on supervisory use of AI).

Reuters. (2024, Oct 14). “India central bank chief warns against financial-stability risks from growing use of AI.” (RBI comments on AI risks).

Financial Times. (2024). “Banks’ use of AI could be included in stress tests, says Bank of England deputy governor.” (BoE/Prudential concerns re: AI and stress testing).

Rizzato, A. (2024). GANs for Scenario Analysis & Stress Testing in Financial Institutions. IJFMR (practitioner paper on GANs for stress testing).

Aleksandrova, A., Ninova, V., & Zhelev, Z. (2023). A Survey on AI Implementation in Finance, (Cyber) Insurance and Financial Controlling. Risks. (systematic survey useful for background and gaps). MDPI

Bahoo, S., et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Finance: a comprehensive review. (Springer/peer-reviewed review). SpringerLink

Chen, X.-Q., et al. (2023). Explainable artificial intelligence in finance: A bibliometric review. (XAI literature overview; use for your explainability section). ScienceDirect

Tarkocin, C. (2024). Constructing early warning indicators for banks using machine learning models. (Article focusing on EWI via ML). ScienceDirect

Purnell, D., Jr. (2024). Developing an Early Warning System for Financial Networks. (Network-based EWS approaches). PMC

World Economic Forum (WEF). (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Financial Services (industry/implementation/regulation overview). World Economic Forum Reports

“The Role of AI in Automating Stress Testing for Financial Institutions.” (2025). ResearchPaper / preprint describing automation and operational aspects of AI in stress testing. ResearchGate

Cao, Y., & Zhai, J. (2022). A survey of AI in finance. Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies — survey useful for background and citations on ML applications. Edinburgh Research

Roy, P., et al. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Finance: A bibliometric review on the field. (PMC / bibliometric evidence across 1989–2024). PMC

BIS / Basel speech and review items (2022). A review of Basel Committee work and AI/ML implications. (policy context on governance/model risk). Bank for International Settlements

IMF (FSAP / stress testing technical notes and factsheets). (2024). Stress Testing and Systemic Risk Analysis—technical notes (examples of stress test frameworks and supervisory practice)