

**SEXUAL DIMORPHISM USING CEPHALIC INDEX****Rupa Devi R, Yuvaraj Babu. K\***Department of Anatomy, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai - 600077. Email id: [rupa2002raja@gmail.com](mailto:rupa2002raja@gmail.com), [yuvarajbabu@saveetha.com](mailto:yuvarajbabu@saveetha.com)**ABSTRACT:**

**INTRODUCTION:** Cephalometry is useful in the classification of sex and race of individuals of unknown identity. This study contains an synoptic capture of cephalic and cephalic dimensions among dental students. Cephalic index is an most important parameters in identification of both sexes. Skull is an most preferred bone for sexual dimorphism since, it resists mutilation, decomposition and even fire. Skull is an most important reliable bone for sex differentiation. Sexual dimorphism means that two sexes of species that differ in external appearance. **AIM:** To verify if cephalic index can be used for sexual dimorphism. **MATERIALS AND METHOD:** Cephalic index = width/length x 100. The cephalic index or cranial index is the ratio of the maximum width multiplied by 100 divided by its maximum length. After getting informed consent measurements were taken for 60 individuals (30 male and 30 female) with the help of spreading calipers. Three values were recorded and the average value were taken as the main value. SPSS software (23) were used to analyse the data. **RESULT:** Wilcoxon signed rank test was done, the p value was 0.192 (p>0.05). So, it is statistically not significant, hence sexual dimorphism cannot be determined using head circumference. **CONCLUSION:** Cephalic index is a highly useful method for planning surgical procedures as well as assessing their effectiveness in correcting cranial deformations in children. From the present study we conclude that cephalic index is not a reliable parameter for sexual dimorphism.

**KEYWORDS** Cephalic index; innovative Sexual dimorphism; Spreading calliper; anthropometry.

**INTRODUCTION:**

Cephalometry is useful in the classification of sex and race of individuals of unknown identity. This study contains an synoptic capture of cephalic and cephalic dimensions among dental students. Cephalic index is an most important parameters in identification of both sexes. Skull is an most preferred bone for sexual dimorphism since, it resists mutilation, decomposition and even fire. Skull is an most important reliable bone for sex differentiation. The reliability of sex determination of the skull depends based on the degree of sexual dimorphism and prevalence among population group. The absolute measurement of cephalic index was measured using spreading caliper. The most commonly used indices for identification of sexual dimorphism are cranial index, nasal index and orbital index. (1). Cephalic index is usually an anthropometric parameter utilized in determination of racial variation. It is even used to determine sexual individuals among individuals whose identities are not known. According to cephalic index, the head shapes are of four types, which are dolichocephaly (having a relatively long skull, typically with the breadth less than 80 or 75 percent of the length), brachycephalic (having a relatively broad, short skull typically with the Breadth at least 80 percent of the length), mesocephalic (having a head of medium proportions, not markedly brachycephalic or dolichocephalic), hyper brachiocephalic (having a very round or broad head with cephalic index of over 85) (2).

The measurements of cephalo facials play an important role in personal identification in forensic application. Its measurement also related to the shape of the skull. The technique of cephalometry summarises, anatomical complexes of head and face of human being living within a similar geography (3). Cranial dimensions and cranial index are the invaluable tools sexual and racial dimorphism. Cephalometry continues as one of the most versatile technique in investigation of craniofacial skeleton because of its practicality and the validity (4). The human body dimensions are affected by biological, ecological, geographical, sex, racial and age factors. Regarding the effect of ethnic, racial, geographical factors on head dimensions, the present was done to determine the measurements of cephalic index. (5). In craniometric terms, the maximum breadth of human skull is measured across broadest points of its parietal bones. The cranial index is otherwise called as cephalic index. Almost all crania of humans are longer than broad. Hence, the cranial index is nearly always less than 1. (6).

Sexual dimorphism is the differences in the males and females of the same species, such as size, color, shape and structure that are caused by inheritance of the one or other sexual pattern in genetic material (7). Sexual dimorphism means that two sexes of species differ in external appearance. Although some sexual dimorphism is the result of natural selection, most thought to have evolved through sexual selection (8). Body mass dimorphism varies dramatically in primate species, both during present and past (9). Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translated into high quality publications (10-17), (18), (19), (20), (21, 22), (23), (24), (25-29). Aim of the current study was to verify if cephalic index can be used for sexual dimorphism.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A group of 60 individuals were selected: 30 males and 30 females. Cranial index also referred to as cephalic index, cranial ratio or cephalic ratio a measurement to categorise head shapes among dental students. Cephalic index = width/length x 100. The cephalic index or cranial index is the ratio of the maximum width (biparietal diameter or BPD side to side of the head of an organisms (human or animal) (Figure 1) multiplied by 100 divided by its maximum length (occipitofrontal diameter or FOD, front to back) (Figure 2). All measurements were taken by a single observer to avoid error. Three values were recorded and the average value were taken as the main value. SPSS software were used to analyse the data and related samples Wilcoxon signed rank test was done



Figure 1: Measurement of cephalic breadth using a spreading caliper



Figure 2: Measurement of cephalic length using a spreading caliper

**RESULTS****Table 1- Range, mean and standard deviation of Cephalic index in males and females**

	N	Minimum in cms	Maximum in cms	Mean in cms	Standard Deviation
Male Cephalic index	30	76.13	91.95	82.66	3.54
Female Cephalic index	30	77.71	95.06	84.50	4.23

\*Related samples Wilcoxon signed rank test, p value was 0.192(p>0.05)

The average measurement of male cephalic index is 82.6±3.54

The average measurement of female cephalic index is 84.5±4.23

Related samples Wilcoxon signed rank test was done. The p value was 0.192(p>0.05). So, it is statistically not significant, hence sexual dimorphism cannot be determined using head circumference.

**DISCUSSION:**

The result of the present study on estimation of sexual dimorphism using cephalic index showed that cephalic index is not a reliable source of stature estimation. Whereas, in other study it is showed that the average cephalic index of male is 80.54±7.20, while in the group of boys of the same age, 82.22±6.87. Hence, there is no statistically differences were noted between sexes (30). In the study done by Pindrick et al the normative value of new indices as well as established measures like the cephalic index can be drawn from the evaluation of CT scans of normal individuals (31). In the study by Rachmavathi it is said that cephalic index is a craniofacial parameter that is often used as a tool to measure the length and breadth of the head. It is important to determine the shape of the head because it can provide information related to the shape of the dental arch (32). On the other hand, knowledge of cranial cephalometry is also an important in the study and differentiation of cranial shape from different races, geographical background and diets. In the clinical practice, specific data of the cephalic index give an indication of individual growth and development and also abnormalities in the size and shape of the skull. Whereas, the study Constantine et al concludes that there is a wide variation in the change in Cephalic index in the normal fetuses and delivery complications (33). A value below the range in the third trimester or a progressive reduction in Cephalic index during the latter half of pregnancy should provoke detailed scanning of the fetal cranial sutures to check for craniosynostosis. Although humans exhibit low levels of sexual dimorphism compared to other animals, differences between males and females were numerous. Generally, the majority of studies tend to focus on adults, because sexual dimorphism is not well pronounced before puberty, this was concluded by many studies (7,33). Cephalic index is a highly useful method for planning surgical procedures as well as assessing their effectiveness in correcting cranial deformations in children. There are relatively only very few studies measuring cephalic index in healthy caucasian young children. Cephalic index was one of the clinical anthropometric parameters recognised in the investigation of craniofacial skeletal deformities and brain development because of its validity (34).

**LIMITATIONS:**

The sample size taken for the study was considerably small and the results of the study can not be generalized.

**FUTURE SCOPE:**

To increase the sample size and also to include people with various age group and race,

**CONCLUSION**

Cephalic index is a very useful parameter for various anthropometric studies, from the present study we conclude that the Cephalic index is not reliable for sexual dimorphism.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Rupa Devi R: Study Design, Data collection, Data Analysis, manuscript writing

Yuvaraj Babu K: Study Concept, Data verification, Data Analysis, manuscript drafting and correction

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We acknowledge and thank all the participants for their cooperation in the study

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors reported the conflict of interest while performing this study to be nil

**FUNDING AGENCY**

The present project is supported/funded/sponsored by

- Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences
- Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals.
- Saveetha University and
- Sankara ammal Dental clinic

**REFERENCES**

1. Kanchan T, Krishan K, Gupta A, Acharya J. A Study of Cranial Variations Based on Craniometric Indices in a South Indian Population [Internet]. Vol. 25, Journal of Craniofacial Surgery. 2014. p. 1645–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/scs.0000000000001210>
2. Akinbami BO. Measurement of cephalic indices in older children and adolescents of a Nigerian population. Biomed Res Int. 2014 Apr 8;2014:527473.
3. Shah T, Thaker MB, Menon SK. Assessment of cephalic and facial indices: A proof for ethnic and sexual dimorphism. J forensic sci criminol [Internet]. 2015 Feb;2(4). Available from: <http://www.annexpublishers.com/full-text/JFSC/2-401/Assessment-of-Cephalic-and-Facial-Indices-A-proof-for-Ethnic-and-Sexual-Dimorphism.php>
4. Orish CN. Cephalic index in sexual dimorphism and racial diversity: A mini review. MOJ Anat Physiol [Internet]. 2018 Jan 19;5(1). Available from: <https://medcraveonline.com/MOJAP/cephalic-index-in-sexual-dimorphism-and-racial-diversity-a-mini-review.html>
5. Rathnakar P, Bannur BM. Comparative study of cephalic index in North Indian and South Indian students [Internet]. Vol. 04, National Journal of Clinical Anatomy. 2015. p. 134–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-3401565>
6. Makandar UK, Kulkarni PR. Correlative Study of Cranial Index with Cranial Capacity in South Indian Crania [Internet]. Vol. 8, Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2014. p. 249. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/j.0973-9130.8.1.053>
7. Nikitovic D. Sexual dimorphism (humans). In: The International Encyclopedia of Biological Anthropology [Internet]. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2018. p. 1–4. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9781118584538.ieba0443>

8. Mesnick S, Ralls K. Sexual Dimorphism [Internet]. Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals. 2018. p. 848–53. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-804327-1.00226-0>
9. Larsen CS. Equality for the sexes in human evolution? Early hominid sexual dimorphism and implications for mating systems and social behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003 Aug 5;100(16):9103–4.
10. Sekar D, Lakshmanan G, Mani P, Biruntha M. Methylation-dependent circulating microRNA 510 in preeclampsia patients. *Hypertens Res*. 2019 Oct;42(10):1647–8.
11. Princeton B, Santhakumar P, Prathap L. Awareness on Preventive Measures taken by Health Care Professionals Attending COVID-19 Patients among Dental Students. *Eur J Dent*. 2020 Dec;14(S 01):S105–9.
12. Logeshwari R, Rama Parvathy L. Generating logistic chaotic sequence using geometric pattern to decompose and recombine the pixel values. *Multimed Tools Appl*. 2020 Aug;79(31-32):22375–88.
13. Johnson J, Lakshmanan G, M B, R M V, Kalimuthu K, Sekar D. Computational identification of MiRNA-7110 from pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) ESTs: a new microRNA that links diabetes and PAH. *Hypertens Res*. 2020 Apr;43(4):360–2.
14. Paramasivam A, Priyadharsini JV, Raghunandhakumar S, Elumalai P. A novel COVID-19 and its effects on cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res*. 2020 Jul;43(7):729–30.
15. Pujari GRS, Subramanian V, Rao SR. Effects of *Celastrus paniculatus* Willd. and *Sida cordifolia* Linn. in Kainic Acid Induced Hippocampus Damage in Rats. *Ind J Pharm Educ*. 2019 Jul 3;53(3):537–44.
16. Rajkumar KV, Lakshmanan G, Sekar D. Identification of miR-802-5p and its involvement in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes*. 2020 Dec 15;11(12):567–71.
17. Ravisankar R, Jayaprakash P, Eswaran P, Mohanraj K, Vinitha G, Pichumani M. Synthesis, growth, optical and third-order nonlinear optical properties of glycine sodium nitrate single crystal for photonic device applications. *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron*. 2020 Oct;31(20):17320–31.
18. Wu S, Rajeshkumar S, Madasamy M, Mahendran V. Green synthesis of copper nanoparticles using *Cissus vitiginea* and its antioxidant and antibacterial activity against urinary tract infection pathogens. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*. 2020 Dec;48(1):1153–8.
19. Vikneshan M, Saravanakumar R, Mangaiyarkarasi R, Rajeshkumar S, Samuel SR, Suganya M, et al. Algal biomass as a source for novel oral nano-antimicrobial agent. *Saudi J Biol Sci*. 2020 Dec;27(12):3753–8.
20. Alharbi KS, Fuloria NK, Fuloria S, Rahman SB, Al-Malki WH, Javed Shaikh MA, et al. Nuclear factor-kappa B and its role in inflammatory lung disease. *Chem Biol Interact*. 2021 Aug 25;345:109568.
21. Rao SK, Kalai Priya A, Manjunath Kamath S, Karthick P, Renganathan B, Anuraj S, et al. Unequivocal evidence of enhanced room temperature sensing properties of clad modified Nd doped mullite Bi2Fe4O9 in fiber optic gas sensor [Internet]. Vol. 838, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. 2020. p. 155603. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.155603>
22. Bhavikatti SK, Karobari MI, Zainuddin SLA, Marya A, Nadaf SJ, Sawant VJ, et al. Investigating the Antioxidant and Cytocompatibility of *Mimusops elengi* Linn Extract over Human Gingival Fibroblast Cells. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 Jul 4;18(13). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137162>
23. Marya A, Karobari MI, Selvaraj S, Adil AH, Assiry AA, Rabaan AA, et al. Risk Perception of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Implementation of Various Protective Measures by Dentists Across Various Countries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 May 29;18(11). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18115848>
24. Barma MD, Muthupandian I, Samuel SR, Amaechi BT. Inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans*, antioxidant property and cytotoxicity of novel nano-zinc oxide varnish. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2021 Jun;126:105132.
25. Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. In silico validation of the non-antibiotic drugs acetaminophen and ibuprofen as antibacterial agents against red complex pathogens. *J Periodontol*. 2019 Dec;90(12):1441–8.
26. Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen *A. baumannii* and related species [Internet]. Vol. 94, *Archives of Oral Biology*. 2018. p. 93–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.07.001>
27. Uma Maheswari TN, Nivedhitha MS, Ramani P. Expression profile of salivary micro RNA-21 and 31 in oral potentially malignant disorders. *Braz Oral Res*. 2020 Feb 10;34:e002.
28. Gudipani RK, Alam MK, Patil SR, Karobari MI. Measurement of the Maximum Occlusal Bite Force and its Relation to the Caries Spectrum of First Permanent Molars in Early Permanent Dentition. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2020 Dec 1;44(6):423–8.
29. Chaturvedula BB, Muthukrishnan A, Bhuvaraghan A, Sandler J, Thiruvengkatachari B. *Dens invaginatus*: a review and orthodontic implications. *Br Dent J*. 2021 Mar;230(6):345–50.
30. Likus W, Bajor G, Gruszczynska K, Baron J, Markowski J, Machnikowska-Sokolowska M, et al. Cephalic index in the first three years of life: study of children with normal brain development based on computed tomography. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2014 Feb 4;2014:502836.
31. Pindrik J, Molenda J, Uribe-Cardenas R, Dorafshar AH, Ahn ES. Normative ranges of anthropometric cranial indices and metopic suture closure during infancy. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2016 Dec;25(6):667–73.
32. Rachmawati E, Murniati N, Xavier AK. Description of cephalic index in female Indian and Chinese Malaysian. *Int j med sci clin invent*. 2020 Jan 15;7(01):4681–5.
33. Constantine S, Kiermeier A, Anderson P. The Normal Fetal Cephalic Index in the Second and Third Trimesters of Pregnancy. *Ultrasound Q*. 2020 Sep;36(3):255–62.
34. Frets GP. The Cephalic Index and Its Heredity. 1925. 149 p.