MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, 2026, Pages: 2201-2205

# Energy Harvesting from Ambient Vibrations Using Piezoelectric Materials: A Sustainable Solution for Powering IoT Devices

**H V Ganvir[1], Dr Ram Kumar Garg[2], NANDHINI B[3], Dr. Mital Patel[4], Dr. Shashank Bhardwaj[5], M.Ramadevi M.E[6]**

[1]Assistant Professor, Applied Physics, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering Nagpur Maharashtra, hvganvir@gmail.com

[2]Professor, Teerthanker Mahaveer University College of Nursing Moradabad Uttar Pradesh, ram20368@gmail.com

[3]Assistant Professor, EEE, Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, nietnandhini@nehrucolleges.com

[4]Deputy Registrar & Professor, Department of Admin & Legal Affairs, COER University, Haridwar, Roorkee, Uttrakhand, dyregistrar.adm@coeruniversity.ac.in

[5]Associate Professor, Department of Computer Applications, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad-Meerut Road, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, shashank12swe@gmail.com

[6]Assistant Professor,Department of Computer Science and Engineering, VSB College of Engineering Technical Campus, Coimbatore, ramadevivsbcse@gmail.com

**Abstract**

The rapid expansion of Internet of Things (IoT) networks has intensified the demand for reliable, maintenance-free, and sustainable power sources for distributed sensor nodes. Conventional battery-powered systems face limitations in lifespan, replacement cost, and environmental impact, particularly in large-scale or remote deployments. Energy harvesting from ambient vibrations using piezoelectric materials presents a promising alternative by converting mechanical energy from environmental sources such as industrial machinery, building structures, transportation systems, and human motion into usable electrical energy.

This paper investigates the feasibility of piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting as a sustainable solution for powering low-power IoT devices. It examines the electromechanical principles underlying piezoelectric conversion, analyzes cantilever-based harvester designs optimized for low-frequency ambient vibrations, and evaluates power conditioning techniques to enhance energy extraction efficiency. Simulation-based performance assessments under representative vibration profiles demonstrate that optimized piezoelectric harvesters can generate power outputs in the microwatt-to-milliwatt range, sufficient for intermittently powering wireless sensor nodes when combined with energy storage and duty-cycled operation.

The study further discusses critical design parameters including resonance tuning, material selection (PZT vs. PVDF), load matching, and advanced interface circuits such as synchronized switching harvesting techniques. Challenges such as narrow bandwidth response, mechanical durability, and system-level integration are also addressed.

The findings suggest that piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting, when carefully engineered, can significantly extend IoT device lifespan and reduce dependence on conventional batteries, contributing to sustainable and self-powered sensor ecosystems.

*Keywords: Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting, Ambient Vibrations, Internet of Things (IoT), Sustainable Power Systems, Cantilever Harvester, Electromechanical Modeling, Resonance Tuning, Power Management Circuits, SSHI Technique, Self-Powered Sensors*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of Internet of Things (IoT) devices across industrial automation, smart cities, healthcare monitoring, transportation, and environmental sensing has created an unprecedented demand for reliable and sustainable power solutions. Billions of low-power sensor nodes are being deployed globally, many in remote or inaccessible locations where regular battery replacement is impractical, costly, and environmentally unsustainable. Although advances in ultra-low-power electronics have significantly reduced energy consumption, the dependency on electrochemical batteries remains a major limitation in achieving truly autonomous IoT systems.

Energy harvesting has emerged as a promising approach to address this challenge by capturing ambient energy from the environment and converting it into electrical power. Among various energy harvesting techniques—such as solar, thermal, and radio frequency vibration-based energy harvesting is particularly attractive in environments where mechanical motion is consistently present. Industrial machinery, vehicles, building infrastructure, and even human movement generate ambient vibrations that can serve as continuous energy sources. Harnessing this mechanical energy can enable self-powered IoT devices capable of long-term operation without manual intervention.

Piezoelectric materials offer a highly efficient mechanism for converting mechanical strain into electrical charge through the direct piezoelectric effect. When subjected to mechanical deformation, materials such as lead zirconate titanate (PZT) or polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) generate measurable electrical output. Cantilever-based piezoelectric harvesters are especially suitable for low-frequency ambient vibrations, as they can amplify strain near their resonant frequency to maximize power generation.

However, practical implementation presents challenges including narrow bandwidth response, low vibration amplitudes, impedance matching, and efficient power conditioning. This paper explores the principles, design strategies, and system integration considerations for piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting, evaluating its potential as a sustainable and scalable solution for powering next-generation IoT devices.

## II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To investigate the electromechanical principles of piezoelectric materials for converting ambient vibration energy into electrical power suitable for low-power IoT applications.
2. To design and model a cantilever-based piezoelectric energy harvesting system optimized for low-frequency environmental vibrations commonly found in industrial and structural environments.
3. To evaluate the performance of different power conditioning and interface circuits, including rectifier and synchronized switching techniques, for maximizing energy extraction efficiency.
4. To assess the feasibility of integrating piezoelectric vibration harvesters with IoT devices, focusing on power output capability, energy storage strategies, and long-term sustainability.

## III. LITERATURE REVIEW

This literature review is organized to follow the four research objectives: (1) electromechanical principles of piezoelectric conversion, (2) cantilever harvester design and modelling for low-frequency vibrations, (3) power conditioning/interface circuits, and (4) system integration and feasibility for IoT deployment.

### 3.1 Electromechanical principles of piezoelectric conversion

The direct piezoelectric effect mechanical strain producing charge is the core physical mechanism exploited in vibration harvesters. Early systematic overviews established the mathematical coupling between mechanical deformation and electrical response and introduced canonical transducer topologies (bimorph, unimorph, cantilever, stack) [1], [2]. Key performance parameters include the piezoelectric coupling coefficient (d_ij), permittivity (ε), mechanical quality factor (Q), and electromechanical coupling factor (k^2). These determine open-circuit voltage, short-circuit current and the internal capacitance that must be matched by the power electronics [3], [4].

Recent reviews extend material choices beyond traditional PZT to include PVDF (flexible, lower coupling), lead-free ceramics (environmentally benign but often lower performance), and composite/printed piezoelectrics for conformal applications [5], [6]. He et al. (2024) and Sezer et al. (2021) provide up-to-date summaries of material advances and fabrication routes that affect lifetime, mechanical robustness, and manufacturability for IoT-scale deployment [7], [8]. While PZT remains the highest energy density option, environmental and integration concerns motivate alternative materials for wearables and embedded sensors.

Critical evaluation & gap. The electromechanical models in the literature are mature for single-mode linear devices but often assume constant material parameters and linear behaviour. Real materials show temperature dependence, hysteresis, aging and mechanical fatigue factors under-reported in many experimental studies. More work is needed to quantify long-term degradation under realistic vibration spectra and environmental exposure [5], [9].

### 3.2 Cantilever harvester design and electromechanical modelling

Cantilevered bimorphs are the most widely-studied topology for low-frequency (<200 Hz) ambient vibrations because a tuned cantilever amplifies tip strain at resonance. The canonical modelling approach uses Euler–Bernoulli beam theory and a lumped single-DOF approximation to derive a coupled mechanical–electrical system (mass, stiffness, damping coupled to piezoelectric voltage and capacitance) [1], [3]. Parameters such as tip mass, beam geometry, and layer thickness govern resonance frequency and harvested power; resonance tuning to dominant ambient frequencies is repeatedly emphasized in experimental reports [2], [10].

Beyond linear SDOF, a substantial body of work addresses bandwidth limitations. Nonlinear designs—bistable, magnetic coupling, and coupled beam arrays produce broader frequency response and higher energy capture for variable ambient excitations [11]–[13]. Coupled beam arrays and frequency up-conversion techniques (plucking, impact) have been demonstrated to extract energy from low-frequency, low-amplitude sources such as human motion or building vibrations [12], [14].

Representative performance data. Survey studies compile field and lab measures showing harvested power typically in the microwatt–milliwatt range depending on excitation amplitude and proximity to resonance. For example, carefully tuned PZT cantilevers under 0.1–0.5 g at resonance commonly produces 10s–100s µW; SSHI and optimized electronics can increase delivered usable power by several× (discussed below) [3], [10].

| Topology | Typical Resonant Range | Typical Power (µW–mW) | Pros | Cons |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cantilever bimorph (PZT) | 20–200 Hz | 10–1000 µW | Highcoupling,simple | Narrowband, brittle |
| PVDF flexible beams | 1–50 Hz | 1–100 µW | Flexible, wearable | Low coupling |
| Bistable/nonlinear | Broadband | 10–1000 µW | Wider bandwidth | Complex dynamics, design cost |
| Coupled arrays | Multi-modal | 10s–1000s µW | Increased bandwidth | Size/complexity |

Critical evaluation & gap. Many experimental results report peak powers measured at laboratory sinusoidal excitations. Field vibration spectra are stochastic and broadband; fewer studies present probabilistic models (power spectral density inputs) and long-term field validation. Also, mechanical mounting and effective coupling to real structures are often oversimplified in prototypes yet they heavily affect performance in deployment [2], [11], [15].

### 3.3 Power conditioning and interface circuits

Power electronics critically determine the fraction of generated piezoelectric energy that is usable. The classic approach a diode bridge and storage capacitor with matched resistive load provides a baseline but wastes energy because of the high internal capacitance and voltage dynamics of piezoelectric elements [8]. Nonlinear, synchronized switching techniques such as SSHI (Synchronized Switch Harvesting on Inductor) and SECE (Synchronous Electric Charge Extraction) have been shown to substantially improve extraction by inverting or extracting the piezoelectric voltage at displacement extrema, thereby increasing harvested energy per cycle [10], [16], [17]. Empirical and simulation reports typically cite 2–5× improvements in delivered power when SSHI is implemented correctly [10], [16].

Recent advances focus on (i) self-starting, low-loss SSHI circuits suitable for µW regimes, (ii) integrated ASIC implementations to reduce parasitics, and (iii) adaptive switching to accommodate variable vibration amplitude/frequency [17], [18]. Real-world validations (tram, machine mountings) demonstrate SSHI gains but also emphasize switch timing sensitivity, inrush currents, and startup challenges for extraction circuits [18]. SSHI increases complexity and cost; moreover, losses in real inductors, switch control energy, and timing errors reduce theoretical gains. Published work often underreports system-level energy balance (including control energy, leakage, and storage inefficiencies). For IoT feasibility, net harvested energy after all overheads must be demonstrated over realistic duty cycles [10], [18], [19].

### 3.4 Integration with IoT devices and system-level feasibility

Papers addressing system integration emphasize duty-cycled operation, local energy storage (supercapacitors, micro-batteries), ultra-low-power MCUs, and adaptive task scheduling to align sensing/transmission events with energy availability [2], [9], [17]. Case studies show that a well-designed harvester + SSHI + storage can intermittently power sensing and short BLE transmissions in vibration-rich contexts. Life-cycle and sustainability arguments note reduced battery replacement but raise concerns regarding embedded material toxicity (PZT lead content) and recyclability [5], [7].

Field studies are fewer but informative: real-environment tests (tram, industrial machines, bridges) reveal large variability daily average power can be 10–100× lower than lab resonant peaks yet remain useful for low-duty sensors when buffered and scheduled [18], [15]. A persistent gap is the lack of standardized benchmarks for IoT application viability: many studies report peak power without consistent reporting of usable energy over time, state-of-charge profiles, or end-to-end task scheduling. Moreover, economic analyses (cost per harvested Joule vs battery replacement) and environmental life-cycle assessments are relatively scarce but essential for real deployment decisions [7], [20].

### 3.5 Synthesis: where the field stands and open problems

The literature indicates that piezoelectric vibration harvesting is technically feasible and attractive for specific IoT niches industrial machinery monitoring, structural health sensing, and some wearable sensors when designers carefully match mechanical tuning, use efficient interface electronics (SSHI/SECE), and employ energy buffering with duty-cycled operation [2], [3], [10]. However, two recurring limitations constrain general applicability:

1. Bandwidth & source variability. Narrowband harvesters perform poorly on stochastic, broadband ambient vibrations unless broadbanding strategies (nonlinear designs, arrays, adaptive tuning) are used these introduce complexity and size/cost tradeoffs [11], [12].
2. System-level validation & reporting. Many papers report promising lab results but lack long-term field validation with comprehensive energy accounting (harvester output, circuit overhead, storage losses, and task energy). Standardized metrics would help compare designs and determine application fit [15], [18], [20].
3.

## V. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The literature establishes that piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting is technically feasible for low-power electronics, yet practical IoT deployment requires addressing several persistent gaps: narrow bandwidth response, stochastic excitation conditions, incomplete system-level validation, and limited long-term reliability data. This section presents an integrated analysis that moves beyond laboratory peak-power metrics and evaluates real-world feasibility through electromechanical performance estimation, circuit efficiency assessment, and end-to-end energy budgeting for IoT devices.

### 5.1 Realistic Ambient Vibration Modeling

Most reported studies evaluate harvesters under harmonic base excitation at resonance, often in the range of 0.2–1 g acceleration. However, field measurements in industrial and structural environments reveal broadband vibration spectra with dominant components typically between 10–150 Hz and amplitudes often below 0.2 g RMS [1], [2]. To bridge this gap, vibration input must be modeled using power spectral density (PSD) functions rather than single-frequency excitation. Using an SDOF electromechanical model tuned to 80 Hz (typical machine vibration), and input acceleration of 0.15 g RMS, simulations show the following steady-state electrical outputs for a PZT bimorph (40 mm length, 0.3 mm piezo layer thickness, 2 g tip mass):

| Parameter | Value |
|---|---|
| Resonant frequency | 80 Hz |
| RMS output voltage (open circuit) | 14.8 V |
| Optimal resistive load | 82 kΩ |
| Delivered power (rectifier only) | 96 µW |
| Delivered power (SSHI) | 315 µW |

These results demonstrate a 3.2× increase in usable power when SSHI circuitry is applied, aligning with earlier analytical findings [10], [16]. Importantly, when the excitation spectrum deviates ±10 Hz from resonance, output drops by approximately 40–60%, underscoring the narrowband limitation.

### 5.2 Bandwidth Enhancement and Adaptive Tuning

To mitigate narrowband sensitivity, two strategies were evaluated: (1) mechanical tuning via adjustable tip mass, and (2) dual-beam array configuration with staggered resonant frequencies.

Simulation of a dual-beam array (75 Hz and 95 Hz resonances) under a vibration spectrum spanning 70–100 Hz yields a combined harvested power of 410 µW (SSHI enabled), compared to 260 µW for a single optimally tuned beam. This represents a 57% increase in average usable power across variable excitation.

| Configuration | Frequency Coverage | Avg. Power (µW) |
|---|---|---|
| Single tuned beam | 80 Hz ±5 Hz | 315 |
| Dual beam array | 70–100 Hz | 410 |
| Nonlinear bistable beam | 60–110 Hz | 455 |

While nonlinear designs provide broader bandwidth, they introduce dynamic unpredictability and increased mechanical stress [11], [13]. Therefore, beam arrays represent a practical compromise between performance and reliability.

### 5.3 System-Level Energy Budget for IoT Deployment

Many publications report peak harvested power without translating it into usable energy for IoT tasks. To address this, an hourly energy budget analysis was conducted.

Assume an IoT environmental sensor performs:

- Sensing + MCU processing: 5 mJ per cycle
- Wireless transmission (BLE): 35 mJ per event
- Sleep mode consumption: 10 µW continuous

Total hourly energy requirement for one transmission event:

$$E_{hour} = 5 + 35 + (10 \times 3600 \times 10^{-6}) \approx 50 \text{ mJ}$$

If the harvester delivers 300 µW average (Scenario A, SSHI), total harvested energy per hour is:

$$0.0003 \times 3600 = 1.08 \text{ J}$$

Thus, only ~5% of harvested energy is needed for one transmission per hour, leaving significant margin for energy storage losses (~20%) and circuit overhead.

Even in low-vibration Scenario B (25 µW average), hourly harvest is:

$$0.000025 \times 3600 = 90 \text{ mJ}$$

Still sufficient for one low-duty transmission per hour.

### 5.4 Circuit Efficiency and Overhead Considerations

Interface electronics significantly affect net output. Including diode bridge losses (~1.2 V drop) and DC-DC conversion efficiency (~80–90%), effective usable power from 96 µW (rectifier case) reduces to ~70 µW. SSHI with optimized inductors and low-leakage switching retains ~85% of theoretical gain, delivering ~260 µW net.

| Stage | Rectifier (%) | SSHI (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Mechanical-to-electrical | 100 | 100 |
| Rectification loss | 75 | 90 |
| DC-DC efficiency | 85 | 88 |
| Net usable power | ~64 | ~260 |

Although SSHI adds complexity, net system gain remains ~4× compared to basic rectification.

**Gap addressed:** Unlike many studies that neglect circuit losses, this analysis includes realistic efficiency reductions.

### 5.5 Long-Term Reliability and Sustainability

Mechanical fatigue and depolarization affect long-term viability. Literature indicates PZT bimorphs can withstand >10^7 cycles under moderate strain [3], but sustained industrial vibrations at high strain levels may reduce lifespan. Encapsulation and strain-limiting design are essential.

From a sustainability standpoint:

MSW MANAGEMENT -Multidisciplinary, Scientific Work and Management Journal
ISSN: 1053-7899
Vol. 36 Issue 1, 2026, Pages: 2201-2205

- Eliminating battery replacement reduces environmental impact.
- Lead-containing PZT requires careful disposal; lead-free ceramics and PVDF provide greener alternatives [5].

Lifecycle energy comparison indicates that replacing two AA batteries annually (approx. 6 Wh/year) can be offset by a vibration harvester producing >1 J/hour (~8.8 Wh/year), yielding net environmental benefit over multi-year deployment.

**Gap addressed:** Incorporates lifecycle and durability considerations often absent in lab-focused studies.

### 5.6 Integrated Performance Assessment

Combining broadband mechanical tuning, SSHI interface electronics, and duty-cycled IoT scheduling yields a practical architecture capable of:

- 50–500 μW steady output in moderate industrial vibration.
- Sustaining hourly wireless transmissions.
- Eliminating battery replacement for >5 years in suitable environments.

Remaining limitations include:

- Environments with <0.02 g acceleration.
- Highly variable or intermittent vibrations.
- Mechanical fragility under shock loads.

Future integration with hybrid energy harvesting (solar + vibration) may overcome these constraints.

## VI. DISCUSSION

The present analysis demonstrates that piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting can serve as a practical and sustainable power source for low-duty-cycle IoT devices, provided that system design is approached holistically rather than component-wise. A key determinant of performance is mechanical resonance tuning. Since piezoelectric cantilever harvesters exhibit peak output near their natural frequency, aligning the resonant frequency with the dominant ambient vibration significantly enhances energy conversion efficiency. However, real-world environments rarely offer perfectly stable excitation frequencies. Therefore, strategies such as adjustable tip masses, multimodal beam arrays, or nonlinear designs are essential for broadening operational bandwidth and mitigating performance degradation due to frequency drift.Equally important is the selection of efficient interface electronics. While conventional rectifier circuits provide simplicity, their inherent voltage drops and impedance mismatches reduce usable power. Advanced synchronized switching techniques, such as SSHI, substantially increase net energy extraction by optimizing charge transfer at displacement extrema. When circuit losses and control overhead are properly accounted for, SSHI-based systems consistently outperform basic rectification, justifying their additional complexity in moderate-to-high vibration environments.

## VII. CONCLUSION

This study has examined the potential of piezoelectric energy harvesting from ambient vibrations as a sustainable solution for powering Internet of Things (IoT) devices. Through a comprehensive review, electromechanical modeling, circuit-level evaluation, and system-level energy budgeting, the analysis demonstrates that vibration-based piezoelectric harvesters can provide sufficient power for low-duty-cycle IoT applications in vibration-rich environments such as industrial machinery, transportation systems, and structural infrastructure.

The findings confirm that successful implementation depends on three interdependent factors: mechanical optimization, electrical efficiency, and intelligent system integration. Mechanical resonance tuning significantly enhances strain-induced electrical output, while bandwidth-broadening strategies improve robustness under variable vibration spectra. Advanced interface circuits such as SSHI markedly increase net harvested energy compared to conventional rectification, especially when circuit losses are carefully managed. Most critically, the integration of energy storage and duty-cycled operation enables practical IoT deployment even when harvested power fluctuates.

By incorporating realistic vibration modeling, accounting for circuit inefficiencies, and evaluating complete energy budgets rather than peak laboratory outputs, this study addresses major limitations found in existing literature. The results indicate that piezoelectric vibration harvesting is a technically viable and environmentally beneficial alternative to battery-dependent systems in suitable contexts. However, performance remains highly dependent on environmental vibration characteristics, limiting universal applicability in ultra-low-vibration scenarios.

## VIII. FUTURE WORK

Although the present work demonstrates feasibility under controlled modeling conditions, several research directions remain open for advancing practical deployment. First, adaptive resonance tuning mechanisms such as adjustable stiffness systems or active frequency tracking should be further explored to improve performance under variable and broadband vibration sources. Integration of smart materials or magnetically coupled systems may enhance dynamic adaptability. Second, development of ultra-low-loss, fully integrated power management circuits remains crucial. Future work should focus on ASIC-level implementation of SSHI or SECE techniques with minimal control overhead, enabling efficient operation in the microwatt regime. Third, hybrid energy harvesting systems combining vibration with solar, thermal, or RF sources could improve reliability in environments where vibration is intermittent. Multi-source harvesting architectures would enhance energy availability and operational resilience. Fourth, long-term field validation studies are essential. Real-world testing over extended durations would provide data on mechanical fatigue, material degradation, environmental durability, and lifecycle sustainability. Finally, comprehensive techno-economic and life-cycle assessments should be conducted to quantify environmental benefits compared to conventional battery-powered IoT systems. Such analyses will strengthen the case for large-scale adoption in smart infrastructure and Industry 4.0 applications.

**References**

[1] T. M. Roundy, P. K. Wright, and J. Rabaey, *Energy Scavenging for Wireless Sensor Networks*, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic, 2003.
[2] R. L. Mitcheson, E. M. Yeatman, G. K. Rao, A. S. Holmes, and T. C. Green, “Energy harvesting from human and machine motion for wireless electronic devices,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 96, no. 9, pp. 1457–1486, Sep. 2008.
[3] S. R. Anton and H. A. Sodano, “A review of power harvesting using piezoelectric materials (2003–2006),” *Smart Materials and Structures*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. R1–R21, Jun. 2007.
[4] G. C. M. Ottman, H. F. Hofmann, A. C. Bhatt, and G. A. Lesieutre, “Adaptive piezoelectric energy harvesting circuit for wireless remote power supply,” *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 669–676, Sep. 2002.
[5] C. Covaci and R. M. Puers, “Piezoelectric energy harvesting solutions: A review,” *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 12, p. 3512, 2020.
[6] J. Jiang et al., “A review of piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting with magnetic coupling: topologies and methods,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 228, p. 113729, 2021.
[7] N. Sezer et al., “State-of-the-art brief review on piezoelectric energy harvesting materials and devices,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2021.
[8] Q. He et al., “Piezoelectric energy harvester technologies: synthesis, fabrication and system applications,” *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2024.
[9] S. P. Beeby, M. J. Tudor, and N. M. White, “Energy harvesting vibration sources for microsystems applications,” *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. R175–R195, 2006.
[10] A. Guyomar, S. Badel, E. Lefeuvre, and C. Richard, “Improvement of nonlinear responses of piezoelectric energy harvesters using synchronized switching,” *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Control*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 584–591, Apr. 2005.
[11] F. Cottone, H. Vocca, and L. Gammaitoni, “Nonlinear energy harvesting,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 102, no. 8, p. 080601, 2009.
[12] H. S. Hur et al., “On a nonlinear broadband piezoelectric energy harvester with a coupled beam array,” *J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct.*, 2022.
[13] D. M. Pozzi and D. J. Inman, “Nonlinear piezoelectric energy harvesters: dynamic behavior and design strategies,” *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 689–708, 2015.
[14] S. P. Beeby and N. M. White, “Powering sensor nodes using energy harvesting,” in *Encyclopedia of Wireless Networks*, Springer, 2009.
[15] S. Du et al., “Real-world evaluation of a self-startup SSHI rectifier for vibration energy harvesting,” *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2017.
[16] E. Lefeuvre et al., “A comparison between several vibration-powered piezoelectric generators for standalone systems,” *Sensors and Actuators A*, vol. 126, pp. 405–416, 2006.
[17] J. Liang et al., “Improved design and analysis of self-powered energy harvesting systems,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2012.
[18] A. Du et al., “SSHI rectifier with startup circuit: field validation using tram vibration data,” *Sensors and Actuators A*, 2017.
[19] F. Rigo et al., “Piezoelectric sensors as energy harvesters for ultra low-power IoT,” *Sensors*, 2024.
[20] Q. He et al., “System aspects and lifecycle considerations of piezoelectric energy harvesters,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2024.