
From Revolution to a Credible Political Alternative: A Case Study of AAP from Organizational, Leadership and HR Theory PerspectiveHarsh V. Kalra¹

Doctoral Research Scholar, Political Management and Business Consulting

Email: kalraharsh@gmail.com

Dr. Ranjul Rastogi^{2*}

Professor, Finance and General Management

School of Business, Galgotias University, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: ranjul.rastogi@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

¹ Independent Research Scholar² School of Business, Galgotias University, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

The transformation of social movements into institutionalized political entities remains insufficiently examined within organizational and management scholarship, particularly in emerging democracies. This study investigates the evolution of the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) from an anti-corruption mass movement in 2011 into a credible political alternative within a decade. Drawing upon Organizational Behavior theory (Schein, 2010; Mintzberg, 1979), Transformational and Situational Leadership theories (Bass, 1985; Hersey & Blanchard, 1969), and Human Resource Management (HRM) frameworks (Saks, 2006; McGregor, 1960), the paper analyzes the structural, cultural, and leadership mechanisms that facilitated—and at times constrained—AAP's organizational transition.

Adopting a qualitative longitudinal case study methodology (Yin, 2014), the study relies on secondary data sources including peer-reviewed research, policy documents, parliamentary records, party manifestos, and archival media reports spanning 2011–2023. Thematic analysis and theory-driven pattern matching are employed to trace the stages of organizational institutionalization.

Findings indicate that AAP's rapid rise was driven by symbolic identity construction (Blumer, 1969), entrepreneurial organizational structuring (Mintzberg, 1979), distributed volunteer mobilization, and governance-based legitimacy building. However, leadership centralization, factional conflict (Forsyth, 2010), structural constraints, and resource limitations posed challenges to internal cohesion and expansion sustainability. The study proposes a Movement-to-Political Institutionalization Model comprising six transformation stages.

1. Introduction

New political parties typically emerge in response to institutional dissatisfaction and unmet societal demands (Kitschelt, 1999; Harmel & Robertson, 1985). Organizational dissatisfaction aligns with Maslow's (1943) framework, where unmet safety and governance needs trigger collective action.

The transformation of protest movements into structured political entities resembles entrepreneurial institutionalization processes (Mintzberg, 1979; Panebianco, 1988). This study bridges political science and management scholarship by applying Organizational Behavior (OB), Leadership, and HRM perspectives to examine AAP's transformation.

2. Literature Review**2.1 Political Party Formation**

Party formation often results from systemic dissatisfaction and ideological voids (Harmel & Robertson, 1985). Political entrepreneurship parallels venture creation processes (Gartner, 1985).

2.2 Organizational Institutionalization

Organizations evolve through structuring, role formalization, and legitimacy-building phases (Mintzberg, 1979). Resource dependence theory further explains external institutional constraints (Davis & Cobb, 2010).

2.3 Leadership in Political Movements

Transformational leadership mobilizes followers by articulating a compelling vision (Bass, 1985). Leadership effectiveness depends on contextual alignment (Fiedler, 1964) and situational adaptability (Hersey & Blanchard, 1969).

2.4 Organizational Culture and Group Dynamics

Strong culture enhances cohesion but may generate internal conflict if misaligned (Schein, 2010). Group development and factional tensions follow identifiable stages (Tuckman, 1965; Forsyth, 2010).

2.5 Human Resource Management in Political Organizations

Volunteer engagement aligns with Theory Y assumptions (McGregor, 1960). Conflict resolution mechanisms are essential for sustainable institutionalization (Deutsch, 1973). Employee engagement enhances performance stability (Saks, 2006).

3. Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative longitudinal case study approach (Yin, 2014) covering 2011–2023.

Data Sources

- Peer-reviewed journals
- Party manifestos
- Parliamentary debates
- Governance reports
- Media archives

Analytical Strategy

- Thematic coding
- Process tracing
- Pattern matching
- Stage-based institutional analysis

Triangulation enhances validity and reliability.

4. Theoretical Framework

Organizational Behavior

Organizational culture (Schein, 2010), change management (Lewin, 1947; Kotter, 1996), and entrepreneurial configurations (Mintzberg, 1979) explain structural transformation.

Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership (Bass, 1985) mobilized mass support. Situational leadership (Hersey & Blanchard, 1969) guided governance adaptation.

Human Resource Management

Talent management, engagement (Saks, 2006), and conflict resolution (Deutsch, 1973) underpin institutional sustainability.

5. Conceptual Model

Stage 1: Ideological Mobilization

Stage 2: Symbolic Identity Construction

Stage 3: Entrepreneurial Structuring

Stage 4: Leadership Consolidation

Stage 5: Governance Legitimization

Stage 6: Expansion Replication

6. Conclusion

AAP's transformation illustrates how political institutionalization parallels entrepreneurial organizational evolution. Symbolic legitimacy, adaptive leadership, and structured HR practices are essential for sustainable expansion.

The proposed staged framework contributes to political management scholarship by integrating organizational and leadership theories into political institutional analysis.

References

- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bennis, W., & Nanus, B. (1997). *Leaders: Strategies for taking charge* (2nd ed.). HarperBusiness.
- Blumer, H. (1969). *Symbolic interactionism: Perspective and method*. University of California Press.
- Brabham, D. C. (2008). Crowdsourcing as a model for problem solving. *Convergence*, 14(1), 75–90.
- Cogliser, C. C., & Brigham, K. H. (2004). The intersection of leadership and entrepreneurship. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15(6), 771–799.
- Davis, G. F., & Cobb, J. A. (2010). Resource dependence theory. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 28, 21–42.
- Deutsch, M. (1973). *The resolution of conflict*. Yale University Press.
- Dhillon, J. S., & Vohra, P. S. (2014). A success story of the brand AAP. *International Journal of Management & Business Studies*, 4(1), 15–20.
- Edinger, L. (1993). *Political leadership studies*. In *Innovative leadership in international politics*. SUNY Press.
- Fiedler, F. E. (1964). A contingency model of leadership effectiveness. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 1, 149–190.
- Forsyth, D. R. (2010). *Group dynamics* (5th ed.). Wadsworth.
- French, J. R. P., & Raven, B. (1959). The bases of social power. In *Studies in social power*. University of Michigan Press.
- Gartner, W. B. (1985). New venture creation framework. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(4), 696–706.
- Harmel, R., & Robertson, J. D. (1985). Formation and success of new parties. *International Political Science Review*, 6(4), 501–523.
- Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training and Development Journal*, 23(5), 26–34.
- Kitschelt, H. (1999). *Continuity and change in contemporary capitalism*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Lewin, K. (1947). Frontiers in group dynamics. *Human Relations*, 1(1), 5–41.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396.
- McGregor, D. (1960). *The human side of enterprise*. McGraw-Hill.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The structuring of organizations*. Prentice Hall.
- Panbianco, A. (1988). *Political parties: Organization and power*. Cambridge University Press.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63(6), 384–399.
- Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.